1 (Pages 1 to 4)

		1 (rages 1 to 4
		Page 3
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	INDEX
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI SOUTHERN DIVISION	2	Style and Appearances 1-2
CHARLES SPANSEL and JANET SPANSEL PLAINTIFFS	3	Index 3
	4	Certificate of Deponent 115
VS. CIVIL ACTION NO.: 1:08CV1516-LG-RHW	5	Certificate of Court Reporter 116
	6	Comment of Court Reporter 110
STATE FARM FIRE AND CASUALTY CO., DEFENDANTS and JOHN DOES 1-10	7	EXAMINATIONS
DEPOSITION OF CHARLES SPANSEL. JR.	8	In a second
Taken at the instance of the Defendant, State	9	Examination by Mr. Tucker 4
Farm Fire and Casualty Company, at the offices of Hawkins,	10	Examination by Ms. Hurder 105
Stracener & Gibson, 544 Main Street, Bay St. Louis, Mississippi, on July 14, 2009, beginning at 9:21 a.m.	11	Further Examination by Mr. Tucker 108
APPEARANCES:	12	A William Distriction by \$117 I delete
ROSE HURDER, ESQ.	13	EXHIBITS
Hawkins, Stracener & Gibson, PLLC 544 Main Street	14	Exhibit No.
Bay St. Louis, Mississippi 39520 COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS	15	
	16	1 - Second Re-Notice of Deposition 5
LAWRENCE J. TUCKER, JR., ESQ. Hickman, Goza & Spragins, PLLC	17	2 - Document Entitled Important Information 37
Postal Drawer 668 1305 Madison Avenue	18	About Estimating the Replacement Cost of Your
Oxford, Mississippi 38655	19	Home or Structure and Selecting Your Coverage
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT	20	Amount and Homeowners Renewal Certificate
	21	3 - Homeowners Declarations Page 37
	22	4 - Mississippi Katrina Resolution Form 85
	23	5 - Letter Dated 09/28/2005 From State Farm to 90
	24	Charles Spansel (Denial Letter)
	25	
Page	2	Page 4
	-	-
Charles Spansel, Jr 07/14/2009	1 2	CHARLES SPANSEL,
	3	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
ALSO PRESENT: Mrs. Janet Spansel	4	
-	5	MR. TUCKER: Just as we begin, I presume we can have the same stipulations?
	6	MRS. HURDER: Standard stipulations, yes.
REPORTED BY: Sherry L. Purvis, CSR #1566	7	•
Certified Court Reporter 134 Mallard Pointe Drive	8	MR. TUCKER: Absolutely.  MRS. HURDER: And plaintiffs would like to read
Madison, Mississippi 39110	9	and sign.
(601) 605-0229	10	G
, ,	11	MR. TUCKER: Very good.  EXAMINATION BY MR. TUCKER:
	12	Q. Mr. Spansel, I introduced myself to you just a
	13	second ago.
	14	A. Uh-huh (affirmative),
	15	Q. My name's Lawrence Tucker. I typically go by
	16	Lucky.
	17	•
	18	A. Okay.
	19	Q. I'm here today as an attorney on behalf of
	20	State Farm Fire and Casualty Company.
	Ì	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
	21	Q. And I'm here to ask you a series of questions
	22	regarding your Hurricane Katrina claim. You're here by
	23	agreement today, correct?
	24 25	A. Yes.
Sherry Purvis, CSR - (601) 605-0229	20	Q. Okay. And we, in fact, filed a second

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

			Z (Pages 5 to 8)
	Page 5	To a construction of the c	Page 7
1	re-notice of deposition dated for today and for 9:00 this	1	before
2	morning, just indicating that we would agree to be here	2	A. No.
3	and to conduct this deposition at that time.	3	Q meaning either you sued someone you're
4	MR. TUCKER: 1 just want to make that my first	4	okay you sued someone or someone sued you?
5	exhibit to the record.	5	A. No.
6	(Exhibit 1 marked for identification.)	6	Q. All right. Sometimes folks when talking about
7	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) And, Mr. Spansel, I heard you	7	legal actions, they don't consider things like maybe a
8	say a second ago that this was your first time, so —	8	divorce. Have you ever been a party to a divorce?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. No.
1.0	Q I understand that. And I want to just kind	10	Q. Okay. And no sort of criminal problems,
11	of go over a few of what I consider sort of the ground	11	anything beyond just a minor traffic ticket?
12	rules for how a deposition should proceed.	12	A. No.
1.3	A. Sure.	13	Q. Okay. Now, you told me, obviously, you have
14	Q. One is that I would ask you to give me the	14	not given a deposition before. But have you ever given
1.5	opportunity to fully articulate my questions before you	15	testimony under oath, like you're doing here today, you
16		16	swore an oath to tell the truth?
10 17	begin to answer.	17	A. No.
18	A. Okay.	18	
i	Q. It'll be very easy for you to anticipate where		Q. Okay. In order to come and to give this
19	some of my questions are going, and I know that in a	19	testimony today, did you review any documents, do anything
20	conversation folks would like to sort of talk over one	20	to prepare, such as that not speaking to an attorney,
21	another. I don't mind, personally. Sherry does. It ties	21	but something that you would have done personally?
22	up her fingers. So if you can try to let me get my	22	A. No.
23	questions out, I promise to try to let you get your	23	Q. Okay. How long have you been married?
24	A. Okay.	24	A. 32 years.
25	Q answers out. The other thing I'd ask is	25	MR. TUCKER: Is he right?
	Page 6		Page 8
1	that you answer everything verbally. It'll be real easy,	1	MRS, SPANSEL: Yeah.
2	again, for me to look across the table and see you nod	2	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Do y'all have any children?
3	your head yes and know that it meant a yes, but it doesn't	3	A. Yes.
4	translate so well. So if can just try to remember as we	4	Q. How many children?
5	go along to say yes or no, and also to try to avoid the	5	A. Four.
6	kind of more casual uh-huhs (affirmative) and uh-uhs	6	Q. Okay. Would you go through their names and
7	(negative).	7	ages with me?
8	A. Okay. I'll okay.	8	A. Last names also?
9	Q. There you go. All right. Thank you. The last	9	Q. Yes, sir. If they're married and they have a
10	thing, I guess, is just that if you need a break at any	10	new last name
11	time, just call time out. I'm perfectly fine with that.	11	A. Okay.
12	The only thing I would request is that if I've presented	12	Q yes, sir.
13	you with a question, you go ahead and try to answer that	13	A. Jennifer Perilloux, P-E-R-I-L-O-U-X, 30.
14	before you take your break. And then if you need to speak	14	Michele Spansel, E-L-E, 27. Amy Spansel oh, she just
15	to your attorney or whatever you'd like to do, that would	15	got married recently, Amy Spansel Despot, D-E-S-P-O-T, 25,
16	be fine.	16	and Jeffery, J-E-F-F-E-R-Y, Spansel, 22.
17	A. I can do that.	1.7	Q. Okay. And I want to tell you just to make sure
18	Q. Thank you. Would you please state your full	1.8	I don't make you uncomfortable or unduly uncomfortable. I
19	name and date of birth for the record?	19	do want to ask you a few questions about your family and
20	A. Charles William Spansel, Jr.	20	your personal life, just a little bit I want to try to
21	Q. And date of birth?	21	get to know you. Part of what I want to do here today is
22	A. January 4th, 1952.	22	evaluate how you would testify as a witness.
23	Q. A fellow Capricorn.	23	A. Okay.
24	A. Yes.	24	Q. I'm also going to ask you a few questions — I
25	Q. Okay. Have you ever been a party to a lawsuit	25	realize y'all have a primary residence in Kenner.
L	for a surface control of the second control	ì	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

		,	3 (Pages 9 to 12)
	Page 9	- Illeanneantin	Page 11
1	A. Right.	1	A. No.
2	Q. I'm going to ask you a few questions about	2	Q. Okay. Do you have any relatives within just a
3	maybe family or friends you may have on the Gulf Coast.	3	couple of degrees who live in Mississippi, say up to maybe
4	Just in case those people would show up on a jury, I'd	4	like second cousins?
5	want to know in advance what kind of connections you have	5	A. No.
6	here.	6	Q. Okay. How about any folks that you'd consider
7	A. Okay.	7	close friends that live in Mississippi, you know, whether
8	Q. So just so you know where I'm going. I don't	8	they be neighbors at the vacation home or whether they
9	want you to think I'm just prying for no good reason. Do	9	just be, you know, folks that you have been associated
10	any of your children still live at home?	10	with for years who have moved this way?
11	A. Jeffery.	11	A. That live on a permanent basis as their primary
12	Q. Okay. And did he live with you at the time of	12	residence?
13	the storm?	13	Q. Yes, sir.
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Primary resident, Bonnie and Paul Kiff,
15	Q. Okay. And the home address in Kenner,	15	K-I-F-F. Adrianne and Lance. I know Adrianne prior to
16	Louisiana?	16	her marriage, so what is Adrianne
17	A. 74 Verde, V-E-R-D-E, Street.	17	MRS. SPANSEL: Jones.
18	Q. Okay. How long have y'all owned the property	18	A. Jones. And may I ask a question if I'm not
19	at Verde street?	19	sure on from to my wife?
20	A. 19 years.	20	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) I'm actually not supposed to
21	Q. Okay. Is that property also insured by State	21	let you do that.
22	Farm?	22	A. No. Well, that's okay. There's there's
23	A. Yes, it is.	23	one another couple, but I can't think of their name at
24	Q. Has that been true for the entire 19 years?	24	the moment.
25	A. Yes.	25	Q. Thanks. What I'll do is
	Page 10	Warrent V House SA	Page 12
1	Q. Okay. Do Jennifer, Michele or Amy live on the	1	A. I'm
2	Mississippi Gulf Coast?	2	Q PII
3	A. Live on the Mississippi Gulf Coast?	3	A drawing
4	Q. Yes, sir.	4	Q kind
5	A. Amy and Jeffery stayed there most of the summer	5	Aa
6	prior to the storm.	6	Q of
7	Q. Okay. Describe for me what the purpose of the	7	A complete blank.
8	house in Mississippi was?	8	Q I'll kind of save that one.
9	A. A a paradise away from home.	9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Got you.	10	Q. And I'll ask again when I have the chance
11	A. A second home,	11	with
12	Q. And, I guess, the nature of my question would	12	A. Okay.
13	be like, you know, say, was it a house where the kids	13	Q Mrs. Spansel.
14	lived when they were in school, it was a vacation home, it	14	A. All right. If I recall to do that, so
15	was a rental property? You know, you might have had	15	Q. Okay. And, again, the reason I'm more
16	various uses for it.	16	concerned with folks who primarily reside here is because
17	A. It it was not a rental property. Vacation	17	they could show up on a jury.
1.8	home. Every time we were there we felt like we were on	18	A. Okay.
19	vacation. It was a family getaway.	19	Q. I think that folks who might just have a second
20	Q. Okay. When did y'all purchase that home on	20	home would in all likelihood not be some
21	Sandy Hook Drive?	21	A. Well
23	A. In 2000, May of 2000, to be exact.	22	Q of the
24	Q. Okay. And you told me that Amy and Jeffery lived in the Mississippi home the summer before the storm.	23	A these
۱ ٔ ۱		24	Q folks A are people that would live in this area.
25	Do any of your children presently live in Mississippi?	25	

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

r-			4 (Pages 13 to 16)
	Page	13	Page 15
1	Yes.	1	earned your BBA
2	Q. Okay. Do you know which city the Kiffs live	2	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
3	in?	3	Q where did you go next?
4	A. Pass Christian.	4	A. I stayed with them for a while.
5	Q. Okay. And how about the Joneses?	5	Q. Capital Jewelers?
6	A. Gulfport.	6	A. Capital Jewelers. Oh, let's see. Give me one
7	Q. Okay. I want to ask a little bit about	7	moment.
8	yourself. Where did you grow up?	8	Q. Sure.
9	A. New Orleans and Metairie.	9	A. I'm trying to
10	Q. Okay. And what year did you graduate high	10	Q. Take your time.
11	school?	11	A. I'm not quite sure of the dates, but but
12	A. 1970.	12	soon thereafter I left and opened my own retail store in
13	Q. Okay. Where did you graduate?	13	the French Quarter in New Orleans.
14	A. Archbishop Rummel High School.	14	Q. Okay. What was the name of that business?
15	Q. Is that in New Orleans or Metairie?	15	A. Burlap Bag.
16	A. It's Metairie.	16	Q. I'm sorry?
17	Q. After you graduated high school, did you	17	A. Burlap Bag.
18	immediately go into work, or did you go to school?	18	Q. Okay. Do you still own that business today?
19	A. School.	19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. Where did you go to school?	20	Q. Okay. How long was Burlap Bag in operation?
21	A. Tulane University.	21	A. Two years.
22	Q. Okay. And what did you study?	22	Q. And, you know, for my purposes, I'd be
23	A. Architecture.	23	perfectly happy with approximations.
24	Q. Did you get a four-year degree from Tulane?	24	A. Okay.
25	A. I left Tulane after two years, and by my own	25	Q. Around two years?
	Page 1	L 4	Page 16
1	choice I always like to kind of explain that. I	1	A. About.
2	actually had a scholarship to my junior year, but I chose	2	Q. No. That'll be fine. I just
3	to go into business and transferred to Loyola and	3	A. Okay.
4	graduated from Loyola University.	4	Q don't want you to feel that you're going to
5	Q. Loyola just offered a better business program?	5	be
6	A. Tulane did not have an undergraduate program in	6	A. Okay.
7	business.	7	Q held to some sort of a date there.
8	Q. Got you. Were you at Loyola for two years?	8	A. Okay.
9	A. Two years.	9	Q. So for a couple of years
10	Q. Okay. And when you were done, was the degree	10	A. Right. Correct.
11	called a BBA, a bachelor of business	11	Q you ran and owned
12	A. Correct.	12	A. Correct.
13	Q administration? Okay. Did you work while	13	Q Burlap Bag in the French Quarter?
14	you were in school?	14	A. Correct.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Okay. What did you do after that time?
16	Q. What sort of jobs would you have held down?	16	A. I opened I moved to a bigger location, and I
17	A. I worked at a place called Capital Jewelers &	17	opened up a place called Courtview.
18	Distributors.	18	Q. And I guess I kind of
19	Q. And can I ask what sort of work you would have	19	A. And
20	done for them?	20	Q assumed
21	A. Retail, but management, assistant manager,	21	A and they were simultaneous at at at
22	different in different departments.	22	some point. Okay.
23	Q. Okay. Anywhere else?	23	Q. Well, tell me and I guess I sort of was
2 4	A. At after college, no.	24	assuming what you sold at Burlap Bag. What did you sell
25	Q. Okay. When you finished at Loyola, and you	25	at Burlap Bag?

			5 (Pages 17 to 20)
	Page 1	7	Page 19
1	A. Hmm. Gift items and souvenirs.	1	A. All in the French Quarter, New Orleans.
2	Q. Okay. How about at Courtview?	2.	Q. Okay. And, again, it's souvenir items,
3	A. Same thing.	3	generally speaking?
4	Q. Okay. You said a new location. Still in the	4	A. Souvenirs. One of our stores focuses on
5	French Quarter?	5	pralines, and but to to generally sum it up, it's
6	A. Correct.	6	tourist type items tastefully done, not some of the things
7	Q. Okay. And you ran these two businesses	7	you may see on Bourbon Street, let's say, but family
8	simultaneously for a	8	oriented. Yes.
9	A. Correct.	9	Q. Okay. Got you. Not a bunch of slogan
10	Q period? Did Courtview then last longer than	10	T-shirts?
11	Burlap Bag? Did it	11	A. No.
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Okay. Are the five locations is each one
13	Q. – outlive it?	13	individually named?
14	A. Yes, it did. Yeah.	14	A. Yes.
15	(Off the record.)	15	Q. Okay. And your primary occupation at this time
16	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) So you've got a business	16	is to manage these locations?
17	called the Burlap Bag, and you're running it for some	17	A. Correct.
18	time, and then you opened a new location, larger, called	18	Q. Okay. Other than the home on Sandy Hook and
19	Courtview selling souvenir items in the French Quarter?	19	the one on Verde Street in Kenner, did you own any other
20	A. Correct.	20	real estate at the time of the storm? I'm sorry. And
21	Q. And after the Burlap Bag closed, Courtview	21	also other than your businesses, any residential real
22	continued to be in operation?	22	estate?
23	A. Correct.	23	A. No.
24	Q. About how long was Courtview in operation?	24	Q. Okay. You purchased the home on Sandy Hook in
25	A. You you are you're taxing my memory, but	25	2000. Do you recall who you purchased it from?
	Page 18	3	Page 20
1	I'm going to say approximately five years.	1	A. I do not recall.
2	Q. Okay. While you were operating Courtview after	2	Q. Was it an individual whom you knew or had any
3	Burlap Bag had —	3	sort of relationship with or
4	A. Right.	4	A. No. It was not.
5	Q closed, did you open any other businesses?	5	Q. Okay. How did you find out about the property?
6	A. Yes.	6	A. Friends that live on Sandy Hook called me and
7	Q. Okay. Tell me what else you did.	7	said there was a location for sale.
8	A. I took another location, even still larger	8	Q. And were those the Kiffs?
9	location.	9	A. No.
10	Q. Changed the name?	10	Q. Okay. Who called about that location?
11	A. Toulouse Royale, T-O-U-L-O-U-S-E R-O-Y-A-L-E.	1.1	A. Cindy Murphy.
12	Q. Okay. And continued along the same lines?	12	Q. Okay. Do you know whether Cindy still lives on
13	A. Yes.	13	Sandy Hook?
14	Q. Okay. And just kind of continue to walk me	14	A. She lost her house, also. It was a second
15	through, if you will, sort of the history of the	15	home. They still own the property.
16	businesses. Is Toulouse Royale still in business?	16	Q. Okay. Do you know who their insurer was?
17	A. Yes, it is.	17	A. No, I don't.
18	Q. Okay. So Toulouse Royale has been consistently	18	Q. Okay. So Cindy called and said there's a
19	in business now for better than 20 years?	19	property nearby, and it's for sale?
20	A. Since 1977.	20	A. Correct.
21	Q. Okay. Better than 30 years?	21	Q. Were you in the market, or was that kind of a
22	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	22	cold call that surprised you?
23	Q. Do you have any other business interests?	23	A. I was in the market. They wanted us to join
24	A. We are up to five locations.	24	them in Pass Christian.
25	Q. Okay. All in New Orleans?	25	Q. Is Cindy married?
Processor			

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

		ı	b (Pages 21 to 24
	Page 2	1	Page 23
1	A. Yes.	1	A. Correct.
2	Q. Do you know her husband's name?	2	Q. Okay. Sorry. How did you come to find
3	A. Dr. Charles Murphy.	3	Mr. Saucier?
4	Q. Okay. Are they also residents of New Orleans?	4	A. At the time of the purchase, the seller was
5	A. Of Kenner.	5	insured with State Farm, and Steve Saucier was the agent.
6	Q. Kenner. I am sorry.	6	Q. Did you actually meet with Mr. Saucier in
7	A. That's all right.	7	person to discuss the procurement of insurance on the
8	Q. Kenner. Okay. Did you purchase the home	8	home?
9	through a realtor?	9	A. I met with him one time when we were
10	A. Yes, I did.	10	transferring the there was a question whether we're
11	Q. Do you recall what realtor or which realtor	11	going to be grandfathered in on the ground floor. He
12	that was?	12	answered those questions for us, and then we met with him.
13	A. I think it was Latter & Blum.	13	Q. I'm sorry. Tell me what you mean by
14	Q. Okay. Do you recall which agent you used?	14	grandfathered in on the ground floor.
15	A. The listing agent, but I do not recall his name	15	A. It's a two-story house, and I just wanted to
16	at the moment.	16	make sure we we would have coverage on on first and
17	Q. Okay. Would you still have documents related	17	second floor as the seller did, and he assured us that we
18	to the purchase of the property?	18	would, and we did.
19	A. Yes.	19	•
20	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether an appraisal was	20	Q. Okay. So you would have had those discussions
21	performed in connection with the purchase?	21	prior to agreeing to use him and State Farm as your
22	A. An appraisal was performed.	22	insurer on that —
23			A. Yes.
24	Q. Okay. Did you have a mortgage on the home at	23	Q property? This home was built on a
25	the time of the purchase? A. Yes.	24	traditional slab, like a slab foundation?
	A LOS	25	A. Yes.
	Page 22	2	Page 24
1	Q. Okay. Would the lender have prepared the	1	Q. Okay. Not a crawl space and not an elevated
2	appraisal?	2	home?
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Correct.
4	Q. Okay. Do you recall who the mortgage was with?	4	Q. Okay. And it was a two-story home?
5	A. It was a local bank. And if I heard the name,	5	A. Correct.
6	I would remember it, I think, but I do not recall.	6	Q. Okay. Do you have any photographs of the home
7	Q. Would it be Hancock Bank?	7	prior to the Katrina loss?
8	A. No.	8	A. Many.
9	Q. Okay. Do you have a mortgage on the property	9	Q. Okay. And I have some photos with us that I'm
10	today?	10	going to go through with you today. It's my recollection
11	A. No.	11	there are a number of shots of the interior. I don't
12	Q. Do you still own the property today?	12	recall if there were many of the exterior. As we go
13	A. Yes.	13	through those, that'll be one of the questions.
14	Q. Okay. When was the mortgage paid?	14	A. Okay.
15	A. I can give you a window. I I do not	15	Q. If you find that you can tell me, yeah, I've
16	specifically recall a particular date or even year.	16	got a lot of other photos of the exterior, you know,
1.7	Sometime between 2002 and 2004.	17	showing the condition of the property before the storm,
L 8	Q. So prior to the storm, or at the time of the	18	that's something I'd be interested in comparing and
L 9	storm, there was no mortgage on the property?	19	seeing.
20	A. That's correct.	20	A. Okay.
21	Q. Am I correct that the State Farm agent was	21	Q. Were you and I ask you, meaning was it you
22	Mr. Spansel I'm sorry you're Mr. Spansel was	22	
23	Mr. Saucier?	23	or your wife or y'all together who were responsible for
24	A. That's correct.	24	procuring the insurance through Mr. Saucier?  A. Yes.
25	Q. Steve Saucier?	25	
	L. COLD DUMPIOL .	23	Q. You or your wife or you and your wife together?

_		,	7 (Pages 25 to 28	)
1	Page 25		Page 27	,
1	A. Me.	1	A. Correct.	2
2	Q. Okay. And did you work with Mr. Saucier to set	2	Q. Okay. Was that 900 Dean Lee Drive, Unit 406,	
3	the limits for the coverage, or how did you set upon the	3	Baton Rouge?	
4	limits for the coverage?	4	A. Correct.	
5	A. As I recall I just went with his	5	Q. Okay. Is that an apartment?	8
6	recommendations.	6	A. It's a condo.	1
7	Q. Okay. Do you know whether you set the limits	7	Q. Condo. Were you owners of the condo?	
8	the same as the prior owner had had them at?	8	A. Yes.	
9	A. I'm not sure of that.	9	Q. Okay. Did you purchase that condominium after	
10	Q. Okay. I understand that the property was	10	the storm?	
11	covered for both under a homeowner's policy and under a	11	A. Yes.	
12	flood policy, correct?	12	Q. Okay. Do you still own it today?	0
13	A. Correct.	13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Was that always the case? Since the purchase	14	Q. So, Mr. Spansel, when you were first speaking	1
15	of the home, have you had both policies?	15	to Mr. Saucier regarding the insurance coverage for the	
16	A. Oh, yes.	16	Sandy Hook Drive home, you knew that flood insurance was	
17	Q. Was the flood policy a requirement of the	17	one of the coverages you wanted to procure?	2000
18	lender, to your recollection?	18	A. Yes.	034
19	A. That would just be an assumption. I - I - I	19	Q. Okay. Did you and Mr. Saucier have any	Books
20	don't know,	20	conversation that you specifically recall about what the	algebra.
21	Q. Some folks lived in areas where the in order	21	limits of the flood policy should be?	Seecons
22	to have the lienholder loan you the money, it was a	22	A. That I specifically recall? I recall having a	
23	requirement that you have the flood insurance. Some folks	23	conversation setting limits, but specific I mean,	
24	didn't have that requirement, but still made a conscious	24	setting coverage, establishing coverage.	2000
25	decision to purchase a flood policy, and I was just trying	25	Q. Okay. Well, my follow-up question would be:	September 1
	Page 26		Page 28	William .
1	to	1	Is there any point in time when you recall going back and	A Sample
2	A. Well	2	reassessing or reevaluating the coverage limits that had	8
3	Q determine which was you?	3	been selected?	
4	A. Okay. I was concerned. I wanted flood	4	A. The spring of '05, I did go back in. I did not	2000
5	insurance, so I was getting it regardless of what the bank	5	speak with him. There was a woman there. I I do not	2000
6	required.	6	recall her name, and sat with her to discuss coverage, and	
7	Q. Okay. I follow you. The home in Kenner,	7	we did raise our coverage at that time.	
8	Louisiana is it also insured for flood?	8	Q. Both homeowner's and flood or flood alone?	
9	A. Yes.	9	A. We discussed both. I don't recall if we raised	
10	Q. Okay. Was the home in Kenner damaged during	10	both. I know there was some change to my coverage in the	
11	Hurricane Katrina?	11	spring of '05.	2000
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. Okay. What prompted you to go back to the	8
13	Q. Did it sustain flood damage?	13	agent in the spring of '05 to discuss your coverage	2.5
14	A. No.	14	limits?	100
15	Q. Okay. Was it unlivable for a period of time?	15	A. A a prudent homeowner.	
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. Just seemed like the right thing to do?	
17	Q. How long was it in terms of months or years	17	A. We we had a a flood claim prior, and	Contraction of the Contraction o
18 19	that you were out of the Kenner home?	18	hurricane season seemed to be a little more active, so I	SPECIAL
20	A. We had we were able to move in based on the	19	chose to go in and review my coverages.	12000
21	kitchen being completed in October of 2006.	20	Q. Okay. What I wanted to - the reason I was	W. College
22	Q. Did y'all live I have somewhere in my	21	asking what prompted you was, among the documents that	
23	notes on Dean Lee Drive in Baton Rouge?  A. Yes.	22	plaintiffs' counsel produced in this case are a couple	644 (SS)
24	Q. Okay. Is that where you resided in between the	23	they are labeled Spansel v. State Farm and Bates numbered	3003003
25	date of the storm and October of '06?	24	0309 and 0310. And I just want to show those to you for a	90000 (Pa
	water of the Storm and October of U0;	25	minute, and let you tell me if you recognize them, and	0.2

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

_			8 (Pages 29 to 32)
	Page 2	9	Page 31
1	maybe if they had anything to do with your decision to go	1	witness.
2	back in and reassess your coverages?	2	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Is that your understanding of
3	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)	3	what the document's telling you? It's not a trick
4	A. (Examining.) Do you want me to read this?	4	question. I promise.
5	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Yes, sir. If you'll take just	5	
6	enough time, as much time as you like, but at least enough	6	A. (Examining.) I mean, it — it clearly states
7	time to identify what the documents are discussing. And	7	"your State Farm agent can assist you"  Q. Right.
8	then my first question would be whether you recall having	8	A. Yeah.
9	seen these documents before?	9	
10	A. (Examining.) I'm I'm curious at this date	10	Q. It says that, right?
11	that it's prepared, March 21st, 2005, and my timing of	11	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
12	visiting with State Farm to reassess my coverage in the	12	Q. Very good. You see I have trouble there's a
13	spring of '05, as I had previously mentioned. I guess	13	lot of things I'd like to just say, but I really got to
14	it's quite possible that this may have prompted me in	14	try to get you to say them, and so it helps me. That's
15	addition to the more active hurricane activity in — in	15	what the document does say, in fact, it directs to if
16	the prior years.	16	you don't find another source, you can visit your State
17	Q. Sure. And so just to sort of identify what	17	Farm agent, correct?
18	we're talking about, the document on page 309 it's	1	A. Which I did.
19	titled "Important InformationAbout Estimating the	18	Q. Yes, sir, which you did. And the other
20	Replacement Cost of Your Home," et cetera. And I believe	19	document, number 310 and this was just talking about
21	it basically discusses that a prudent homeowner would at	20	replacement cost like that first document did lists
22	times determine whether or not the coverages are	21	other available coverages, does it not, optional coverages
23	sufficient to replace the home in the event of a total	22	that you could include in your policy?
24	loss and suggests that an agent can assist in	23	A. (Examining.)
25	accomplishing that. The document certainly speaks for	24	Q. And I promise you I have a reason for asking
	The development of the second	25	you these questions.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	itself, but that's just kind of a summation. Would you	1	A. I'm sure you do. (Examining.) This document
2	agree that's generally what it says?	2	does address the availability of additional coverages.
3	MRS. HURDER: Objection to the extent counsel	3	Q. Okay. And one of the additional coverages that
4	is testifying.	4	I just happen to know is part of this homeowner's policy
5	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) I don't want you to have to	5	was called jewelry and furs coverage. There was \$2,500 of
6	summarize the whole thing for me, Mr. Spansel. Is that	6	coverage available, and that's what you consider an
7	generally what it says?	7	additional coverage. My question is, do you recall
8	A. It's concerning - yeah, it's it's as 1	8	whether that additional coverage was something that you
9	can I I didn't fully read each word, but it appears	9	had in 2000 or something that you added later on down the
10	that it's urging homeowners to reassess their coverage.	10	line?
11	Q. Okay. And, in fact, does it say that "If you	11	A. You're – you're asking me jewelry and furs
12	are unable to obtain a detailed estimate from either of	12	specifically?
13	these sources" it's giving a couple of sources that	13	Q. Yes, sir. That's an additional coverage that's
14	"your State Farm agent can assist you in" doing that?	14	parts of your homeowner's policy.
15	A. (Examining.) From either of these sources?	15	A. I do not recall if I have jewelry and fur
16	I'm going to look where the where the sources are.	16	coverage.
17	Estimates of the home - "replacement cost can be obtained	17	Q. Okay. Sorry. I guess I sort of assumed
18	from a building contractor or a replacement cost	18	something there, so let me go back. I just want to pass
19	appraisal. If you are unable to obtain a detailed	19	to you a document. It's a homeowner's declaration page
20	estimateyouragent can assist you"	20	for the 12-month policy period from May 4th, 2005, to
21	Q. And my question, Mr. Spansel, is only that not	21	May 4th, 2006. And it's going to show limits of
22	only did it alert you to the fact that you might want to	22	liability, and it also shows some additional coverages
23	review your coverages, it also alerted you to the fact	23	available on your policy, and it is plaintiffs Bates
24	that a State Farm agent could assist you in that, correct?	24	number Spansel v. State Farm 0307.
25	MRS. HURDER: Objection, misquoting the	25	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)
		f .	= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

9 (Pages 33 to 36)

1			9 (Pages 33 to 36
1	Page	33	Page 35
1	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Mr. Spansel, that document, as	1	MRS. HURDER: Objection, misquoting the
2	I said, is what's called a declarations page. It's sort	2	witness.
3	of a summation of what the coverages are. You'll note on	3	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) You tell me if it's a fair
4	the left hand side at the very bottom there, there's an	4	characterization or not.
5	optional coverage available for jewelry and furs.	5	A. A small value. No, I said nothing significant.
6	A. (Examining.)	6	Okay.
7	Q. I believe it's a 15 for an individual item,	7	Q. Tell me what nothing significant means. If it
8	2,500 for the aggregate whole. Would you agree that that	8	doesn't mean small value, what does it mean to you?
9	coverage is available under at least it's what	9	A. I think I would have to sit down with my wife
10	reflected on this declarations page?	10	and prepare a contents list.
11	A. (Examining.) Yes, as as printed here. Yes.	11	Q. Okay. Is that something that you've done,
12	Q. Do you recall at any time requesting that	12	prepared a list of contents lost in this home that you
13	additional coverage?	13	owned and had stored there at the time of Hurricane
14	A. No. I do not recall that.	14	Katrina?
15	Q. Okay. Would there have been any jewelry or	15	A. Not a detailed list.
16	furs in the home at the time of Hurricane Katrina?	16	Q. Okay. So you have prepared a list, just not a
17	A. There were no furs. There was some jewelry,	17	detailed list?
18	but nothing of any significance.	18	
19	Q. And that's kind of it's a second home, a	1	A. Correct.
20	vacation home.	19 20	Q. Anybody at State Farm in connection with this
21	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	21	claim ever request a contents list from you?
22	Q. I would not expect you to keep a lot of		MRS. HURDER: Which claim?
23	valuable jewelry in a home that you didn't primarily	22	A. In in regards to this claim?
24	reside in. But that coverage was available under your	23	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Well, in regards to your
25	policy, and so my yeah, that is my question, and I	24 25	Hurricane Katrina claims, I don't mean necessarily under
			your flood policy or your homeowner's policy. Was a
	Page -	34	Page 36
1	go ahead.	1	contents list asked of you following Hurricane Katrina
2	A. When when you say available, I actually had	2	dealing with the Mississippi property?
3	that coverage?	3	A. Not a specific list, but we did discuss
4	Q. Yes, sir.	4	contents over the phone. He was it was generalized
5			contents over the phone. He was - it was generalized
_	A. Okay.	5	categories.
6	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we	5 6	<del>-</del>
7	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your		categories.
7 8	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with	6	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.
7 8 9	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring	6	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?
7 8 9 10	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional	6 7 8	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?
7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and	6 7 8 9	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits
7 8 9 10 11	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a	6 7 8 9	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?
7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs	6 7 8 9 10	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my earlier policies and see if that was on there.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct.  Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct.  Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?  A. Yes, we were.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my earlier policies and see if that was on there.  Q. Yes, sir.  A. All right. I do not recall when that was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?  A. Yes, we were. Q. And were those limits, in fact, \$148,400?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my earlier policies and see if that was on there.  Q. Yes, sir.  A. All right. I do not recall when that was added. Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?  A. Yes, we were. Q. And were those limits, in fact, \$148,400? A. Yes, they were.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my earlier policies and see if that was on there.  Q. Yes, sir.  A. All right. I do not recall when that was	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?  A. Yes, we were. Q. And were those limits, in fact, \$148,400? A. Yes, they were.  MR. TUCKER: Okay. Let's do a couple of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Yes, sir. And, again, the other documents we showed you one prompted you to reevaluate your replacement cost coverage. You went and you visited with your agent, and you reassessed your limits in the spring of '05, correct? The other one it mentioned additional coverages. I thought quite possibly you went and discussed things with your agent. Maybe there was a decision to include a coverage like jewelry and furs because something of that nature was being stored at the house. And that's all I really want to confirm is whether there were jewelry and furs stored in the home that were lost during Katrina?  A. To clarify this, you could go back to my earlier policies and see if that was on there.  Q. Yes, sir.  A. All right. I do not recall when that was added. Okay.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	categories.  Q. Was that in connection with the adjustment of the flood loss?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And, in fact, were you paid the limits available to you for your flood contents loss?  A. That is correct. Q. Okay. And were those limits, in fact, \$100,000?  A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And were you also paid the dwelling limits, structural limits available to you under your flood policy?  A. Yes, we were. Q. And were those limits, in fact, \$148,400? A. Yes, they were.  MR. TUCKER: Okay. Let's do a couple of things, just housekeeping. Can I attach nine and ten

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

	10 (Pages 37 to 40
Page 37	Page 39
1 (Off the record.)	1 A. I would imagine some items would be purchase
2 (Exhibits 2-3 marked for identification.)	2 price, and some would be replacement price where I did not
Q. (By Mr. Tucker) When you said that you	3 recall the actual purchase price.
4 prepared a general list of lost contents, was that	4 Q. And in order to determine that, did you do some
5 something that you then submitted to State Farm?	5 Internet research or look in catalogs, go to stores?
6 <b>A. No.</b>	6 A. No.
7 Q. Is it something that you have turned over to	7 Q. How did you determine, where you couldn't
8 your attorneys?	8 recall your purchase price, what a replacement cost would
9 A. No.	9 <b>be?</b>
Q. Okay. As we go through – and I'm trying to	A. Let me address that this way: That after the
make notes frankly, Mr. Spansel, I think y'all probably	hurricane when the flood adjuster, which was with State
have some more information that would be helpful to me	Farm, called and wanted to address the content part of my
that's not yet been produced. And so I'm going to try to	coverage, together over the phone, we we estimated, to
keep a going list, but I'm going to ask that you find	14 the best of my knowledge at the time and he did not want
these things. Turn them over to your attorneys. Give	details, and we arrived at a number well over the \$100,000
them the opportunity to review them and submit them to me.	16 coverage. At which time he said, stop, that's enough, so
Something like photographs, a list of lost contents, even	17 I stopped. And he, once again — I think he — if I
a general one, an appraisal, documents related to the	18 recall correctly, he gave me seven categories
purchase of the home – those would all be things that	appliances, electronics, linens, upholstered furniture and
would assist me and my client in trying to evaluate the	so on. It — it may have been more than seven, and I
claim. So my request to you is to find those documents,	estimated the value of those items. I — I was — my
present them to your attorneys, and then, hopefully, they	homeowner's claim was denied by State Farm, so the list
can get them to us.	that I was preparing this more specific list or
A. Specifically the contents, my attorneys do not	detailed list was never followed through because of the
have. It was never asked of me to provide that. They do	my claim being denied. So I did not spend a lot of time
Page 38	
-	Page 40
<ul> <li>have the documents concerning my home. Okay.</li> <li>Q. All right. Thank you.</li> </ul>	on the Internet or looking for receipts or going through
3 A. And the appraisal. Yes.	2 credit card accounts or a checking account trying to come
4 Q. Okay. In listing the contents in the general	3 up with that list. If it's required of me now, I'll do
5 itemization, did you assign dollar values to the loss, or	4 that,
	5 Q. Okay. Well, let me ask you this: I mean,
were you merely trying to, you know, create a list of items, or was it a list of items and values? What did you	6 we've got here as Exhibit No. 3 a declaration page that 7 shows the coverages available for the period that includes
8 do?	period that includes
9 A. For the flood claim?	8 Hurricane Katrina. 9 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
O Q. No, sir. You said that you prepared a general	
1 list that hasn't been presented yet of your contents	2, 00,000
2 losses.	property, you'll see coverage B that says I believe it says contents or personal property. I believe the limit
3 A. Okay.	property.
4 O. Correct?	The state of the s
5 A. Correct.	of the state of th
6 Q. Okay. My question is: If I were to see that	to a second seco
7 list right now, would it have values for the losses, or	
A 1111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	C
O	18 your coverages upward some coverages you adjusted 19 upward?
Q. Okay. Did you prepare that list personally, or	7.70 2.20
2 did Mrs. Spansel prepare it?	Q. Okay. Do you recall revisiting your contents
	22 coverage?
1 1	A. I don't recall any specific — any specific
<u> </u>	adjustment. I went into into the office to sit down
included on a list like that included on your list?	with my agent at the time was not there. And this

г	**************************************	<del>,</del>	11 (Pages 41 to 44)
	Page 41		Page 43
1	woman, which I can't remember her name, represented my	1	A know a
2	agent. We sat down. I told her my concerns, and there	2	Q question?
3	was some adjustments made. I don't recall the specific	3	A number. And
4	adjustments.	4	Q. Yes
5	Q. Okay. When you say I told her my concerns	5	AI
6	A. Concerned that I may be underinsured, and we	6	Q sir.
7	raised coverages. We did not lower coverages. Yes.	7	A certainly fully appreciate that. Yes.
8	Q. Okay. Did you have anything such as an	8	Q. I just wanted to make sure you didn't think
9	appraisal or anything in hand that you were using as a	9	anything other than it's a reasonable
10	guide to help you determine whether you were underinsured	10	A. Oh, it's
11	or not?	11	Q question for me to be asking.
12	A. No. I did not walk in with any documents.	12	A it's a very reasonable question. I agree.
13	Q. Okay. After your purchase of the home we	13	(Off the record.)
14	discussed earlier your purchase, and you told me that an	14	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) When you purchased the home,
15	appraisal had been prepared as part of the purchase.	15	did you purchase it, if you recall, above or below its
16	A. Correct.	16	appraisal value?
17	Q. Was there ever another appraisal of the home	17	A. Below the appraisal value.
18	between 2000 and the date of loss?	18	Q. Okay. Was it significantly below, or do you
19	A. No.	19	recall the amount below?
20	Q. Was there ever any sort of appraisal as to the	20	A. I recall the exact figures, if you would like
21	value of the contents or the personal property contained	21	those.
22	in the home?	22	Q. Absolutely.
23	A. No.	23	A. Okay.
24	Q. Okay. Since the date of loss, has there ever	24	Q. Thank you. I didn't want to put you on the
25	been any sort of appraisal as to the value of the lot?	25	spot, but that's what I'd love, so What was
	Page 42		Page 44
1	A. No.	1	
2	Q. Am I correct that the home has still not been	1 2	A. The asking price was 285. The purchase price
3	rebuilt?	3	was 260, 260,000, and the home appraised for 319,000.
4	A. That is correct.	4	Q. Do you recall how much the mortgage was on the home?
5	Q. Okay. You told me as you were discussing the	5	A. 208,000, give or take a couple thousand.
6	general list prepared in connection with your conversation	6	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection as to the
7	with the flood adjuster that it exceeded the \$100,000 in	7	value of the lot as opposed to the value of the home
8	coverage available?	8	located on the lot?
9	A. That's correct.	9	A. Although I I the house was located on
10	Q. You have any estimation for me as to what the	10	Sandy Hook, I — I think the proximity of the house to the
11	value of the lost contents would be?	11	bridge and when I say the bridge, Bay St. Louis
12	A. I'd think without sitting down specifically	12	Bridge made that piece of property less desirable. I
13	and and trying to remember each and every item, which	13	would estimate at that time \$100,000.
14	would be difficult, but we we were in the house five	14	Q. Okay. At the time of the storm —
15	years, so we did accumulate an abundance of property. It	15	A. Yes.
16	was a two-story house. I certainly can work to get an	16	Q according to Exhibit 3
17	accurate number. Yeah.	17	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
18	Q. You understand where I'm coming from, don't	18	Q coverage A for the dwelling is \$138,300.
19	you	19	That was the amount available for the main structure of
20	A. You	20	the home. You stated to me that you thought the mortgage
21	Q in	21	at one point was over 200,000, 208,000 to be exact. Had
22	A would	22	you never had the home fully insured?
23	Q asking	23	MRS. HURDER: Objection. Putting words into
24	A like to	24	the witness's mouth.
25	Q that	25	A. I I looked at my flood coverage. I looked
L	•	ļ	Trooper at my more coverage, 1 women

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

		12 (Pages 45 to 48
Page 45	- Tangamarra	Page 47
at my homeowner's coverage. It looked pretty good to me.	1	great deal of furniture, but it it also we also
Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Okay. In the event that a	2	accumulated other pieces as we went forward.
3 fire had broken out at the home, and the home had just	3	Q. Okay. Can you help me a description of what
4 burned to the ground, you would have looked to your	4	your renovation the extensive renovation consisted of?
5 homeowner's coverage in that instance, would you not?	5	A. It – it seems a little mundane, but I – I
6 A. Correct.	6	will we we removed wallpaper. It it was a
7 Q. Okay. And at 138-some thousand dollars for the	7	very dated house, so there was plaster work, sheetrock
8 structure, do you feel that you had enough insurance?	8	work. We added we we moved a wall, added a wall,
9 A. I would have been left with a slab, and there	9	replaced the windows, expanded the porch, a little kitchen
10 was some cement structure on the ground floor. But it's	10	renovation, fully painted the interior and exterior,
11 quite possible I I would have been underinsured in that	11	repaired and refinished hardwood floors, put in a new
12 particular instance.	12	stairwell, lighting, replaced almost all of the lighting,
Q. And you don't ever recall lowering the amount	13	both interior and exterior. It was a major undertaking.
of your homeowner's coverage at any time?	14	Yes.
15 A. No.	15	
Q. Okay. And the only reason I ask is I would	16	Q. Did you do any of the work yourself?  A. No.
have suspected if you had a mortgage of 208,000, give or	17	A. 190.  Q. Do you recall who you did you hire, say, a
take, that you would have needed at least 208,000 in	18	
coverage. But I assume then some of that is the value of	19	contractor or a builder who then hired other people to do the work?
20 the land, so I just wanted to be sure. It seemed to be a	20	
pretty big gulf between the numbers we're talking about	21	A. We had — we had many contractors, electrical,
here, and what I'm seeing there, but	22	plumbing, carpentry, brought in a floor specialist or
A. Well well, those are the those are the	23	yeah.
24 accurate figures as I remember them. Initially, there was	24	Q. I guess what I'm asking is whether you and I
a mortgage. I ended up paying off the mortgage. Well, I	25	think you're telling me you did - whether you acted as
a mortgage. Tended up paying on the mortgage. Wen, I	123	your own general contractor, found your own subcontractors
Page 46	ACO-OPEN-CO-OP	Page 48
1 guess we would have to look back to what the banks	1	and put them to work?
2 required. I do not recall lowering.	2	A. I - I - I had a general contractor, I guess,
Q. Okay. Tell me this: At any time during the	3	by the name of Bill Nugent.
4 ownership of the home, did you ever attempt to sell it?	4	Q. Okay. Nugent?
5 <b>A. No.</b>	5	A. Nugent, ub-huh (affirmative).
6 Q. Okay. Did you ever receive any unsolicited	6	Q. Okay. Do you know whether Bill is still in
7 offers to purchase the home?	7	business?
8 A. No.	8	A. He's retired.
9 Q. Okay. Did you ever investigate what the value	9	Q. Okay. Do you know what the name of his company
10 might have been, say an appreciated value of the home	10	was?
during the five years before Katrina?	11	A. William Nugent Construction.
12 A. I I was certainly aware of some other	12	Q. And I'm asking because my next question is, do
properties, some other homes that were selling in the	13	you recall how much all of these renovations costs?
14 area, so but not specific our our neighbor had a	14	A. You know, at the - I'm going to say it's in
sale on his home, but so I was aware of some increased	15	the the the range of 65 to \$70,000.
16 value.	16	Q. Okay. Did you have to take an additional loan
Q. Okay. When y'all purchased the home in 2000	17	from the bank in order to perform the renovations?
18 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	18	A. Not not that I recall.
A. Un-num (amminative).	19	Q. Okay. The property was insured at the date of
•		
Q did you have furniture that you were able to	20	your purchase, obviously, in May of 2000?
Q did you have furniture that you were able to move in at that time, or did you begin to acquire		your purchase, obviously, in May of 2000?  A. Correct.
Q did you have furniture that you were able to move in at that time, or did you begin to acquire furniture for the home?	20	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Q did you have furniture that you were able to move in at that time, or did you begin to acquire furniture for the home?  A. When we took possession in May of 2000, we did	20 21	A. Correct.
Q did you have furniture that you were able to move in at that time, or did you begin to acquire furniture for the home?  A. When we took possession in May of 2000, we did	20 21 22	A. Correct. Q. You would have had insurance at the —

		ò	13 (Pages 49 to 52
	Page 49	with the control of t	Page 51
1 Q. Did you reassess t	the limits of the coverage in	1	Q. Okay. Do you recall the cost of constructing
2 October 2000, around the	e time of the move-in, given the 65	2	the gazebo?
3 to \$70,000 invested in the	property?	3	A. At the time that we that I contracted for
4 A. What specifically	was the question? Did I	4	the gazebo we also did some work on the on the pier.
5 Q. Did you revisit wl	hether the insurance coverage	5	But limiting it just to the gazebo, I think we would be
6 was adequate and sufficie	ent in light of the other 65 to	6	talking in the neighborhood of 9 to \$10,000.
7 70,000 that had been put	into the home?	7	Q. Okay. Would Bill Nugent have built the gazebo?
8 A. Not that I recall.		8	A. No.
9 Q. Okay. And, franl	kly, Mr. Spansel, just like in	9	Q. Okay. Do you recall who did the work on the
0 the contents question	• •	10	gazebo?
1 A. Right.		11	A. Louis Lassabe.
•	g to discover is what the	12	Q. Is he similar to Mr. Nugent, a gentleman who
	he loss, and so I'm just looking	13	owns a construction company?
4 for as much information a	• •	14	A. Yes.
5 A. (Witness nods hea	•	15	Q. And do you recall the name of his company?
,	e any extensions on the	16	A. Just knew it as Louis Lassabe.
	ean by that is like outbuildings,	17	Q. Okay. Was he local to Pass Christian?
	t to the property, to the main	18	
9 home?	to the property, to the main	19	A. Yes. Well, not to Pass Christian, but to Gulfport.
	plated a gazaba in Tuna of 2005		-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	pleted a gazebo in June of 2005.	20	Q. Okay. Do you recall where Mr. Nugent was out
<del>-</del>	bo for me in some detail.	21	of?
	ns were 20 by 16 or 20 by 18.	22	A. Gulfport a correction. He lives in Gulfport
•	Only had it for a couple of weeks.	23	today. At the time that he did the work he was in Bay St.
	r, pressure treated lumber. It -	24	Louis.
5 it it had six supports an	la a roof also made out of	25	Q. Okay. When you purchased the property in 2000,
	Page 50		Page 53
lumber. We did run elec	etrical out to it. Also, I had a	1	was there already a boat shed lift and pier on the
boat shed with a lift and	and a pier and all of that.	2	premises?
Q. Okay. Was the g	gazebo built on a concrete	3	A. Yes.
foundation?		4	Q. Okay. Did you perform some renovations to the
A. They I I thin	k it had no. It had	5	boat shed?
concrete piers. Yeah.		6	A. Not to the boat shed, but to the pier. We
Q. Was the gazebo e	elevated where you had to walk	7	expanded the pier twice.
up some steps to get to it	?	8	Q. Okay. Do you recall I presume once was
A. Yes.		9	around June of '05?
Q. Okay. Do you re	ecall how many steps up it was?	10	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
A. Two.		11	Q. You told me that you also did some work on the
	iers driven into the ground	12	pier.
Ç	oncrete around the base of those	13	A. Correct.
4 piers?		14	Q. Well, when was the other time that the pier was
A. Yes.		15	expanded?
	the roof covered in shingle?	16	A. In June of '05, we did a high pier expansion.
	fully covered. It was a	17	Prior to that we did a low pier expansion. 2003, as an
	here. Can't think of the word,	18	estimate. I don't recall the exact time that we did that.
It was not fully covered.		19	Q. That's fine. Do you have any estimate as to
pattern to filter the sunli		20	
<del></del>	Would rain have come through	21	how much money was invested in the expansions of the pier?
the roof?	TO VALUE TAIR HAVE COME HITOUGH	22	A. I apologize for my stomach
A. Yes.			Q. No, that's okay.
	tina?	23	A growling.
4 Q. Okay. Like a latt	Hee:	24	Q. I was about to suggest we might take a break.
5 A. Lattice.		25	And so if you tell me you have an estimate, we can call

		,	14 (Pages 53 to 56
	Page 53	***************************************	Page 55
1	time out.	1	Q and your recollection was a bit vague as to
2	A. Okay. Estimate on the expansion? Let's see.	2	what coverages changed or didn't change. The
3	Well, the the low pier expansion I'm going to say was	3	representation here in your interrogatory responses is
4	\$6,000, and the high pier expansion at the time of the	4	that your flood coverages was increased.
5	gazebo was maybe \$7,000.	5	A. Well, I
6	MR. TUCKER: Okay. Let's take a break,	6	Q. Does this refresh your recollection at all? Go
7	(A short recess was taken.)	7	ahead.
8	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Mr. Spansel, I want to show	8	A. I can specifically say that my flood coverage
9	you one thing that's been submitted to us in the course of	9	was increased. I have I have a good recollection of
10	this litigation. It's titled "Plaintiffs Responses to	10	that because I experienced a — a flood at the property a
11	Defendant State Farm Fire and Casualty Company's First Set	11	year or two prior. My point of going in was to review all
12	of Interrogatories." And I just want to ask you to take a	12	
13	look at that with me, and I'll ask you a few questions	13	my coverages, but I do recall specifically increasing flood.
14	about it once you've had a chance to sort of review it.	14	
15	A. Okay.	15	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether during the course
16	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)	16	of that meeting there was any discussion about the
17	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Generally interrogatories	17	renovations that we identified that Mr. Nugent performed,
18	what occurs is we propounded some questions that we wanted	18	or the gazebo, if it maybe wasn't even in existence yet,
19	answered, and then we've received responses back to those		but plans to build additional structures on the property,
20	questions. So you'll see an interrogatory and then a	19	anything of that nature?
21	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	20	A. At the time of the meeting, there were there
22	response. We propounded the interrogatory. Your counsel provided us with these responses. You don't have to	21	were no plans to to build additional structures, I I
23	A. Okay.	22	recall. Did I address the the improvements made? 1
24	•	23	don't know if I addressed the specific improvements. I
25	Q read every one. I'll draw your attention to	24	guess, yeah, overall, you know, I my house was worth
<b>4</b> J	a couple.	25	more money. We had more stuff in it, contents, and my
	Page 54	***************************************	Page 56
1	A. Okay.	1	intention was to make sure I was covered.
2	Q. But generally my first question would be have	2	Q. Okay. We can just leave these aside for now
3	you ever seen these interrogatories before?	3	A. Okay.
4	A. Have I ever seen them? No.	4	Q unless if you want to review them, you're
5	Q. Okay. And that's fine. I mentioned to Edward	5	welcome to. But we can move them aside for my purposes.
6	earlier they're unsigned. I asked him to secure	6	My question now is about the prior flood.
7	signatures. I believe that will happen at one of our	7	A. Okay.
8	breaks, so you'll have an opportunity, Mr. Spansel, to	8	Q. Was that in connection with a prior hurricane
9	read each one individually, and what you'll be asked to do	9	that had occurred?
L 0	is verify that these answers are your answers.	10	A. I'm going to have to think in thinking back,
11	A. Okay.	11	this was a September, say, a 2002 event, and it was at a
12	Q. What I'm going to ask you about in particular	12	time when there was one kind of following another within a
1.3	is interrogatory number 21, and	13	four-week span. In in the the first one that
i. 4	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	14	affected the Pass Christian area, we actually had
L 5	Q this is going to follow up on some things	15	19 inches of water in our our ground floor. I do not
L 6	we've been talking about. You'll see there there was a	16	recall the specific name of the storm. Yeah.
17		17	Q. Were you affected then by a subsequent event,
	You'll see that the answer mentions that three to	18	also, a subsequent hurricane or storm? You said there was
l 8		19	a series of them.
	four months before the storm you visited with a female		
L 9	four months before the storm you visited with a female employee of your agent, Mr. Saucier	20	A. There was a second storm or hurricane that also
19 20		20 21	A. There was a second storm or hurricane that also produced a rise in the tide four weeks after the initial
19 20 21	employee of your agent, Mr. Saucier A. Uh-huh (affirmative).		produced a rise in the tide four weeks after the initial
19 20 21 22	employee of your agent, Mr. Saucier A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q and that flood limits were increased. You	21 22	produced a rise in the tide four weeks after the initial claim.
19 20 21 22 23	employee of your agent, Mr. Saucier A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q and that flood limits were increased. You know, we've talked a few times, now, about what happened	21 22 23	produced a rise in the tide four weeks after the initial claim.  Q. And did that rise in tide push water into the
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	employee of your agent, Mr. Saucier A. Uh-huh (affirmative). Q and that flood limits were increased. You	21 22	produced a rise in the tide four weeks after the initial claim.

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

			15 (Pages 57 to 60
	Page 57		Page 59
1 (	Q. Okay. Do you recall how much water went into	1	Q. Okay. Did you deal with anyone other than the
4	home at that time?	2	single adjuster in handling that claim?
3	A. It it was we had no real way to	3	A. I don't believe.
	because the damages of the first incident we	4	Q. Okay. Was that adjuster polite and
	e we did not make the repairs yet, so I could not	5	professional with you?
	It just made things a little messier.	6	A. Very much so.
	Q. Is it your belief that less water got in on the	7	Q. Okay. Were you satisfied with the way that
	ond occasion than on the first?	8	State Farm handled that loss?
	A. I that would be a guess. Sorry.	9	A. Yes.
	Q. State Farm was your flood they administered	10	Q. Okay. Other than this flood claim that or
	r flood policy at that time?	<b>1</b> 1	the two flood claims that we're discussing right now, have
-	A. Correct.	12	you had other claims under homeowner's or flood policies
	Q. Describe for me your contacts with State Farm	13	with State Farm in the past? Could be on the Kenner,
	egards to that flood claim. You obviously called and	14	Louisiana, home, one of your businesses, anything, just
	ted them to the fact that you had a claim.	15	other claims.
	A. Correct.	16	A. I had no other claims on the Sandy Hook
	Q. What would have been the next thing that	17	property. On the Verde property prior to Katrina we had
	pened?	18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	A. I would someone eventually showed up at the	19	one wind claim that drove some water in and gave us a
	•	Ì	little bit of first floor roof damage, minus my
	se an adjuster. I don't think he was local. Maybe	20	deductible. Maybe there was a I collected \$2,100 or
	of Oklahoma or Texas, and he assisted in evaluating claim.	21 22	something like that.
			Q. Okay. Same question on this one is: Were you
	Q. Okay. Do you recall what sort of things -	23	satisfied with the way that State Farm handled that wind
	l, were you present while he was at the property?  A. Yes.	24 25	loss at the Verde property?
	$-rest + \frac{1}{2} (rest + rest + rest$	23	A. Yes.
	Page 58		Page 60
1 (	Q. Do you recall what sort of things he did?	1	Q. Are your businesses insured by State Farm?
2 A	A. I'm I'm not sure I follow the question,	2	A. No.
3 but		3	Q. Okay. State Farm also insured the Verde
4	Q. Sure. I can ask it a different way.	4	property at the time of Hurricane Katrina, correct?
5 A	A. Okay.	5	A. Correct.
6 (	Q. For example, did he take measurements and draw	6	Q. After you made contact with State Farm and
7 a ske	etch and photograph?	7	alerted them to the claim in Kenner, were you then
8 A	A. Yes.	8	contacted by an adjuster?
9 (	Q. Okay. Other than measurements, a sketch and	9	A. Yes.
LO <b>som</b> e	e photographs, do you recall anything else that he did	10	Q. Okay. Did that adjuster conduct an inspection
11 whil	le he was at the property?	11	of the property?
12 A	A. He reviewed the contents. I I don't recall	12	A. Yes.
L3 anyt	thing else specifically. No.	13	Q. Were you present for the inspection?
4 (	Q. I think a sketch, photos and measurements	14	A. Yes.
L5 A	A. Yeah.	15	Q. Okay. In describing what the adjuster did at
.6 (	Q pretty standard, and you said he reviewed	16	the Verde property at Hurricane Katrina, anything
7 the c	contents. Do you mean that he the contents were	17	different than what we described for the earlier flood
8 all st	till in the home, correct?	18	claim at Sandy Hook, being a sketch, photos, measurements,
. 9 A	A. Some were still in the home, and some were	19	reviewed contents losses at the site?
0 <b>pull</b> e	ed out on the driveway that were unsalvageable, but	20	A. In reference to that, that was pretty much
1 he	- he I made everything available to him to review.	21	standard procedure. Yes.
	Q. And that would be different than the Hurricane	22	-
	Q. And that would be different than the fill ficalle	22	Q. Okay. And that was your experience at the
22 (	rina loss where the items simply just didn't exist	23	Verde property at Hurricane Katrina was that the same
22 <b>(</b> 23 <b>Katı</b>			

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

			16 (Pages 61 to 64
	Page 61		Page 63
1	Katrina at Kenner, Louisiana?	1	to be sure, this is a wind only claim?
2	A. Same procedure, yes.	2	A. Wind only.
3	Q. Okay. The gentleman who adjusted that loss	3	Q. Okay. There was no flooding in
4	pleasant, courteous, professional with you?	4	A. No
5	A. Yes. But - but I would have to expand on	5	Q that
6	that. My my Katrina claim with State Farm on my Verde	6	A flooding.
	Street property was a difficult process. If I recall, I	7	Q area? Okay. The amount that you were
	had five adjusters. 1 I'll leave it at that.	8	ultimately paid on the Verde property was it sufficient
9	Q. Well, I'll ask you a couple of follow-up	9	to repair that structure?
10	A. I'm sure -	10	A. Yes.
11	Q questions.	11	Q. And the amount that ultimately you were paid
12	A I'm sure you will.	12	for the contents at that property, was it sufficient to
13	Q. Are you telling that at the end of the day	13	replace the lost contents?
14	you're not satisfied with the way that State Farm handled	14	A. Yes.
	the Verde property loss?	15	Q. Okay. What I'd like to do is I have quite a
1.6	A. I described to you earlier that I was back in	16	few color photos and I'd like to go through them with
17	my property in October of 2006.	17	you. I just want to discuss various things, who took
1.8	Q. Yes, sir.	18	them, when they were taken, what they reflect.
L 9	A. I did not receive my final payment till	19	A. Okay.
2.0	February of 2007. I was not very happy.	20	Q. It's quite a lot of them, so it make take us a
21	Q. Okay. Did you ever retain an attorney to	21	little time, but I would like to go through them as best
2	represent you in regards to the Verde property?	22	
:3	A. No.	23	we can.  A. Okay.
24	Q. Do you understand what the final payment was	24	•
	for in February of '07, like what it represented?	25	Q. They were produced by the plaintiffs in this case. They're Bates numbered Spansel v. State Farm 0311,
	Page 62		was a superpose of the superpose of th
1	_		Page 64
1	A. It represented the loss of of contents and	1	and they proceed sequentially through 0380.
	the dollars that it took to make me whole.	2	MR. TUCKER: Take a look.
3	Q. My question, I guess, is this: One of the	3	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)
	things that is sometimes withheld initially is what's	4	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Mr. Spansel, if you will
	called depreciation, so if you have and some items are	5	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
	depreciated, and then when they're replaced, you get	6	Q do you already know whether you are the
	another check that represents the difference.	7	photographer who took these photos?
8	A. I did get that. That is not the check that I'm	8	A. I'm the photographer of this first page I'm
	referring to. I'm referring to a substantial – a	9	looking at.
	substantial payment for the home repair and home	10	Q. Okay. What I'm going to ask you to do is flip
	repair.	11	kind of through there, and if you see some photographs
2	Q. Well, what's your understanding of why the	12	that are not yours or maybe a set of photographs that
	process took so long? Do you have an understanding as to	13	aren't yours, and you can tell me, hey, starting here
	why it took so long?	14	we've got a different photographer. Would you do that for
5	MRS. HURDER: Objection.	15	me?
6 7	A. I wish I knew.	16	A. (Examining.) This photo doesn't appear to –
7		17	it belongs in in this series. I mean, that's I'm
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	18	trying to figure out what the heck that is, but I I did
	•	19	not have any house left, so I don't know what that is.
		20	Q. It looks to be some sort of a mold growing in
1	A. No.	21	the corner of a wall and roof, but you're on page 315.
2	·	22	And y'all didn't have wall or roof left?
	that payment should have been made much earlier?	23	A. No.
24	<del>-</del> _ <del>-</del>	24	Q. Okay.
25	Q. Okay. And I believe you told me earlier, just	25	A. (Examining.)

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

		<del></del>	1/ (Pages 65 to 68)
	Page 65	San Vandrah (Vandrah Vandrah V	Page 67
1	Q. Is that your son, Jeffery	1	Q. And tell me what the second floor then
2	A. Yes, it is.	2	comprised.
3	Q on the top photo on 316? And I think he	3	A. Second floor was a outdoor porch, screen porch,
4 sh	ows up in a few, so I just wanted to be sure that I knew	4	covered porch, den or living area, dining area, kitchen,
1	at was him.	5	master bedroom with bath, two additional bedrooms and a
6	A. Okay. On 36 16 I'm I'm no longer on my	6	full bath. (Examining.) Upstairs, downstairs — so it
7 pr	roperty. This is a picture of the bridge. This is not	7	both of these are up. That's the set of stairs that we
1 *	y property. It's a friend of mine. The	8	put in. On page 372 there's a picture of Bonnie and Paul
9	Q. Whose property is that?	9	Kiff that I just mentioned earlier.
10	A. Lee Larrieu. This is not my property. This is	10	Q. Yes, sir. Is that at their property or yours?
]	st some something that was we found along Sandy	11	
•	ook.	12	A. It's my property. They lived across the
13	Q. Okay. And that's on 317. And then the other	13	street, (Examining.) I don't do not recognize 380.
l	erson in the photo with Jeffery is Janet, correct?	5	Q. When you said you don't recognize it, you don't
15 pc	A. Correct. (Examining.) We walked the	14	recognize that
		15	A. Well, it's
	eighborhood and took several pictures. This this is ot my pier, and I do not recall whose pier that is.	16	Q location?
1	•	17	A not it's not my property, obviously.
1.8	Q. We're on 318.	18	It's it's somewhere along Sandy Hook there.
19	A. (Examining.) It it looking at the	19	Q. Okay. Do you believe to have been the
_	cture below, I do recall now this is Lee and Stephanie	20	photographer for all of these photographs?
	arrieu's property that we walked over to and photographed	21	A. Yes.
I -	ctures with them. This is Stephanie Larrieu with my	22	Q. Okay. Thanks. Let me take a look and see if
	y wife on their property.	23	there's a few I might want to select and
24	Q. On 319,	24	A. Sure.
25	A. (Examining.) This is still taken from their	25	Q ask you questions about.
<u> </u>	Page 66	***************************************	Page 68
1 pro	operty. I am back on my property on 321. (Examining.)	1	MRS. HURDER: Did you want to take a break?
2 I h	naven't visited this in a while. This is	2	THE WITNESS: I'm okay.
3	Q. Sure. Take your time, and if you need a break,	3	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Any time, you just let me
4 jus	st let me know.	4	know.
5	A. (Witness cries.) (Examining.) 346 is not my	5	A. I'm fine.
6 pr	operty. Beginning with well, 351 and 352 is	6	Q. On 313 here is this at your property?
7 pro	e-Katrina before the gazebo because the gazebo was where	7	A. Yes, it is.
_	ose two chairs are. (Examining.)	8	Q. Okay. Those stairs if the home had been
9	Q. These contents items	9	standing, what stairwell was this? Is that leading up to
10	A. These are contents.	10	a front door, for example, or
11	Q. Are they first floor?	11	A. If you were entering from the driveway, you
12	A. Let's see. 353 and 4 are first floor. 355 is	12	would have entered the ground floor foyer and walked up
13 sec	cond. 56 second. 57 is second. 58 is up and down.	13	the set set of stairs that I pointed out earlier. If
	nis is down. 360 this is up. I mean, this is the	14	you went around to, quote, the front of the house, the
	e top photo is downstairs. The bottom photo is	15	water side of the house, you would have entered these
	stairs, and this is upstairs the kitchen. I mean, I	16	stairs on to a covered porch and then into the premises,
	n I can continue and and point out up and down,	17	so it would be a second floor entrance. Yes.
	at this was down, this was up.	18	Q. There would not have been a first floor
19	Q. Well, tell me this	19	entrance on that side, right?
20	A. Yeah.	20	, <del>S</del>
21		21	A. Oh, yes, there there there was a sliding
	wn, first floor what rooms were there, and what were	22	door under the porch.
	ey used for?	23	Q. Oh, okay. All right.
24			A. Under this structure, there was a sliding glass
	A. Downstairs was a a entranceway, a laundry	24	door into the playroom.
20 roc	om, a garage, a den, a kitchenette and a playroom.	25	Q. Okay. Would you agree that the photos we

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

r		1	18 (Pages 69 to 72)
	Page 69	CIVI C CONTROL C	Page 71
1	looked at, although we saw some interior photos	1	you want me to proceed?
2	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	2	Q. No, sir. I'm
3	Q we didn't really see a nice exterior shot of	3	A. Okay.
4	the home before the storm?	4	Q sorry. I think that I wanted to see your
5	A. No. There was not a exterior shot of the home	5	dock, and so -
6	before the storm, but I do have them.	6	A. Okay.
7	Q. That would be great.	7	Q I think I've seen the pier. Are there
8	A. Yes.	8	additional photos here these also of your pier? This
9	Q. If you have some where we could see pretty	9	is pre-storm
10	clearly what it looked like before the storm	10	A. Pre, right. But the the pictures with
11	A. Okay.	11	Bonnie and Paul Kiff are also from my pier.
12		12	Q. Okay. Earlier you told me where the gazebo
13 ,		13	ended up being located
	post-renovation from that early purchase or, you know,	14	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
	that early renovation, I'd appreciate that, as well.	15	Q. — and we were looking at page 351 at the
16	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	16	bottom. You said it was where those two chairs are?
17	Q. On 317 I don't think we've gotten to the	17	A. Those chairs and forward towards the house.
	LaRose property yet, have we, that's here on 318?	18	Yes.
19	A. We haven't gotten to what property?	19	Q. And that's your pier to the left of that photo?
20	Q. Isn't this the LaRose property or your	20	A. Yes.
	friends!?	21	
22		22	Q. Okay. Is that like a little Post-it note on
	A. Their name is Larrieu, L L-A-R-I L-A-R-R-I-E-U.	23	there that says pier?
24	Q. Okay. I'm sorry. 318 these are the	24	A. I didn't do that, but that that is my pier.
		25	Yes.
		23	Q. All right. Okay. Do you recall how old the
	Page 70		Page 72
1 ,	where they begin, and then Mrs. Larrieu	1	roof was at the time of your purchase of the home?
2	A. Correct.	2	A. No. I I don't recall, but it appeared to be
3	Q is here? On 319?	3	in good shape. And it did not seem to be an issue because
4	A. Correct.	4	I would have pressed the seller.
5	Q. Okay. Here at the bottom of 317, my question	5	Q. Did you make any repairs to the roof at any
6	was going to be whether that was your boat?	6	time during the five-year ownership prior to the storm?
7	A. No.	7	A. No.
8	Q. Okay. That's not your boat dock or boat shed	8	Q. Okay. Did y'all take any preparations to board
9 4	is you described it?	9	up windows or otherwise prepare the house for Hurricane
10	A. That is a random picture further up Sandy Hook.	10	Katrina?
11	Q. Okay. Was there any of your pier, boat shed or	11	A. Yes, we did.
12 <b>I</b>	ift did any of that survive the storm?	12	Q. Okay. Would you describe for me what you did
13	A. Yes.	13	to try to ready the home for the storm?
1 4	Q. Did you notice any photographs in this	14	A. We we moved a lot of our contents to the
15 (	collection of that?	15	second floor. We also took our our our boats with
16	A. Yes.	16	us. We boarded up the sliding glass door and brought all
L 7	Q. Okay. Could you help me find a just help me	17	lawn furniture and anything that anything that we could
18 <b>i</b>	dentify which ones are yours.	18	move, we we moved it inside.
L 9	A. It was the one with Bonnie. That's me.	19	Q. How far in advance of the storm was this?
20	Q. Okay. This is the bottom of 337. You can see	20	A. Two days. If if I recall it hit on Monday.
21 (	he bridge, obviously, in the distance.	21	We did this on Saturday.
22	A. That's me.	22	Q. Okay. I want to discuss with you your
23	Q. Also on the bottom of 339, and both photos on	23	personal, I guess, story about Hurricane Katrina. So a
24 3	340. Is this y'all's swimming pool on the top of 337?	24	couple of days in advance of the storm, where was it your
25	A. No. That was my neighbor's, Jay Zainey. Do	25	intent to be during the storm?

	*****	19 (Pages 73 to 76)
Page 73		Page 75
1 A. A couple of days before, my intent was just to	1	understood that it took some time. Were all of your
2 be in Kenner.	2	additional living expense incurred as a result of the
3 Q. Okay. And so the trip over to Mississippi was	3	evacuation were those paid to your satisfaction
4 simply to ready this property in advance of a hurricane?	4	ultimately under the Kenner homeowner's policy?
5 A. So I would not have to be concerned with	5	A. That that that was debatable the the
6 readying two properties.	6	way you worded it. They made me an offer one of my
7 Q. Okay. And once you had prepared the home in	7	many adjusters, and I could I needed the money and
8 Mississippi, as you did, as you described, did y'all go	8	because my businesses were also affected in in New
9 become to Kenner?	9	Orleans, so I I took his offer just to it it
10 A. Yes.	10	seemed a little short of — of what was appropriately due,
Q. Okay. Were you, in fact, in Kenner when	11	but I did I did settle with that number.
12 Hurricane Katrina hit?	12	Q. Okay. I feel certain they weren't, but let me
13 A. No. As as the storm approached, we then	13	make sure. Was there anyone present at the home in
prepared the Kenner home, boarding up all ground floor	14	Mississippi during the storm?
1 1 E	15	
windows, bringing in all of the lawn furniture and preparing the house as best we could.		A. No.
1 1 9	16	Q. Okay. Do you know of any neighbors who
	17	remained at their homes during the storm?
A. And we evacuated to Baton Rouge.	18	A. Neighbors, no. I I did hear of a family
19 Q. Okay. Did you do that on Sunday?	19	that that stayed and regretted staying, but, no, I
A. I would imagine we did that, yeah, Sunday	20	just specifically, directly, no.
21 afternoon. Yes.	21	Q. Okay. No one, in other words, who would have
Q. Okay. Did you go to stay with friends, or what	22	seen exactly what happened at your loss during the storm?
23 were your arrangements in Baton Rouge?	23	A. That is correct.
A. The first night we stayed first two nights	24	Q. Okay. And have you seen any videos, for
25 we stayed with my my daughter and future son-in-law.	25	example, that purport to be of your home or your
Page 74		Page 76
Q. Where did y'all go after that?	1	neighborhood taken during the storm?
2 A. Then we it was oh, then we stayed a night	2	A. No.
3 or two at my my my daughter's boyfriend's apartment	3	Q. Okay. Has anyone presented you with any
because no one was there. They had gone to Lafayette, so	4	photographs that purport to be Hurricane Katrina in your
5 that was available. After the hurricane struck, which	5	neighborhood or at your home during the storm?
6 was - well, it's obvious when that happened. When I	6	A. No.
7 realized - I - I guess I must have gone back to Kenner	7	O. Okay. We looked earlier at the answers to
8 to see my house, and realizing that it was unlivable, I	8	interrogatories.
9 purchased a condo. I I I rented two apartments or	9	A. Sure.
reserved two apartments, and then eventually gave them to	10	Q. I have another question about interrogatory
my friends who did not have housing, and I bought a condo.	11	number eight. You'll just see there a listing, (a)
12 Q. Okay. And y'all remained in the condo until	12	through (l), of various videos. Are any of those
you moved back into Kenner in October of '06? I believe	13	videos you know, have you seen them, are you aware of
14 is—	14	them, is basically my question?
15 A. Correct.	15	A. (Examining.) I heard about a St. Stanislaus
16 Q. – correct. And claims for expenses incurred	16	video. That's the only one, but I never saw it.
during this period of time	17	Q. Okay. How far is your home from St.
18 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	18	Q. Okay. How far is your nome from St.  Stanislaus?
Q after the evacuation before the move-in	19	
		A. Oh, on the other side of the bay, so a
	20	substantial distance.
Q. — did you claim those under the homeowner's policy on the Kenner property?	21	Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. So am I correct
	22	that within a couple of days of the storm you would have
A. Correct.	23	returned to the Kenner property?
Q. Okay. And I asked you earlier whether you had	24	A. Yes.
been paid for your contents and your dwelling, and I	25	Q. How long was it before you came to Mississippi?

20 (Pages 77 to 80)

			20 (Pages // to 80)
	Page 77		Page 79
1	A. A week, as I recall.	1	A. And a couple of dishes.
2	Q. Sure.	2	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) My question, just whenever
3	A. I I it was very hectic back then. I I	3	you're ready
4	don't have an exact date.	4	A. No.
5	Q. Okay. Had you already discussed what you were	5	Q about
6	going to find with neighbors, friends, people who had been	6	A. I'm
7	to Sandy Hook?	7	O the
8	A. I I Googled my property at the time it was	8	A I'm good. I'm good.
9	available on-line, and it appeared little did I know I	9	Q. The question about the iron table would be
10	was looking at a slab it appeared that it was there, so	10	whether you found it there near the slab, or whether it
11	I was very hopeful.	11	was located in some adjacent lot or somewhere else?
12	Q. When you arrived at the property about a week	12	A. It was as I recall, on the slab, but it was
13	after the storm, who was with you?	13	certainly there on my property, and there were other
14	A. My wife and son.	14	things. There were a golf cart, as you can see in the
15	Q. Okay. These photographs were they taken	15	picture, and and the handle my son is holding the
16		-	
17	during that first visit to the property?  A. As I remember, that was my first time there.	16	handle of a riding lawnmower. So there was some
18	-	17	recognizable things, but the table was the only thing that
1	Yes.	18	was of any value.
19	Q. Okay. And when I said these photographs, I	19	Q. Right. Okay. When did you first contact State
20	wasn't clear, but the ones that we've discussed earlier,	20	Farm in regards to the Mississippi loss?
21	Bates 311 through 380.	21	A. I I am certain that I did it shortly after
22	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	22	the visit via a Baton Rouge office.
23	Q. Your recollection is that you would have taken	23	Q. Okay. Some folks, for example, in Mississippi
24	these photographs on that initial visit to the property?	24	went to a tent or an emergency set-up. Y'all were able to
25	A. That would be something I would have to check	25	go to the Baton Rouge office?
	Page 78	Acceptance of the	Page 80
1	with my wife, whether her and I went, and then we went a	1	A. Since I was living there, that's where we went.
2	second time with my son, or that was indeed our our	2	Yes.
3	first visit there.	3	Q. Describe for me as sort of a series of events
4	Q. Okay. I follow you. There may have been a	4	after that from your
5	trip where it was just you and your wife, and then a	5	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
6	subsequent trip that also Jeffery came along on?	6	Q recollection. You report the loss at the
7	A. Exactly.	7	Baton Rouge office. What's the next significant event?
8	Q. Okay. How many trips did you make, in total,	8	A. I I was given some money, I think, on both
9	to the Mississippi property in, say, the first couple of	9	properties for loss of use. And I had to eventually
ı	•	F	FF
10	months after the storm?	10	refund the Mississippi dollars because it was not my
10 11		10 11	refund the Mississippi dollars because it was not my primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.
l	A. Five.	11	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.
11	<ul><li>A. Five.</li><li>Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the</li></ul>	11 12	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the
11 12	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the	11 12 13	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good. Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss
11 12 13	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits?	11 12 13 14	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab
11 12 13	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying.	11 12 13 14 15	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining
11 12 13 14	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property	11 12 13 14 15	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well
11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well  Q things of that nature?
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss? A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Five.  Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits?  A. Crying.  Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss?  A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss? A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer. Q. Sure.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was it was basically gone, just like everybody else's. And
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Five.</li> <li>Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits?</li> <li>A. Crying.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss?</li> <li>A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>A. It's a an iron laid table with a tile top.</li> </ul>	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was it was basically gone, just like everybody else's. And did they I you know, I filed a claim on flood. I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss? A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer. Q. Sure. A. It's a an iron laid table with a tile top. Q. On the bottom of page 368?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was it was basically gone, just like everybody else's. And did they I you know, I filed a claim on flood. I filed a claim on homeowner's. And they pretty much I
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Five.  Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits?  A. Crying.  Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss?  A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer.  Q. Sure.  A. It's a — an iron laid table with a tile top.  Q. On the bottom of page 368?  A. And that is the only thing that we salvaged.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was it was basically gone, just like everybody else's. And did they I you know, I filed a claim on flood. I filed a claim on homeowner's. And they pretty much I say immediately, but certainly happy with the the flood
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Five. Q. And after initially seeing the extent of the damage, what were y'all doing at the property on the subsequent visits? A. Crying. Q. Did you ever find items of personal property that you were able to recognize and salvage from the loss? A. There's a table pictured in the foyer, the downstairs foyer. Q. Sure. A. It's a an iron laid table with a tile top. Q. On the bottom of page 368?	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	primary. I don't I don't follow the question so good.  Q. Sure. I understand. When you reported to the Baton Rouge, Louisiana, office, did you describe the loss at that time as being a total loss and only a slab remaining  A. Well Q things of that nature? A. I I don't well, I don't know how it presented it to them, but I told them, you know, it was it was basically gone, just like everybody else's. And did they I you know, I filed a claim on flood. I filed a claim on homeowner's. And they pretty much I

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

		,	21 (Pages 81 to 84)
	Page 81		Page 83
1	letter of credit from a - Omni Bank was wonderful.	1	Q. Okay. And when you received that letter, did
2	Q. Okay. You're getting to the answer of what I	2	you contact State Farm to discuss it?
3	was trying to	3	A. I made numerous calls to Steve Saucier's office
4	A. Okay.	4	that were not none were returned. I was also dealing
5	Q inquire about, which is after the report and	5	with putting my businesses back together, my issues with
6	you had given a description to the Baton Rouge office as	6	my home, my Verde property, and taking care of my family,
7	to what you had seen at the loss	7	and I just let that slide while I addressed the more
8	A. Right.	8	pressing issues.
9	Q at that time then was the next event was	9	Q. Okay. Did you ever hear back from Mr. Saucier?
10	it contact by a flood adjuster?	10	A. No. Uh-uh (negative).
11	A. Yes.	11	Q. Did you ever make any contact with State Farm
12	Q. And that contact was made by phone?	12	again in regards to the denial of the homeowner's claim?
13	A. Correct.	13	A. No.
14	Q. And there was a discussion, for example, as to	14	Q. Okay. Would the next significant step be
15	a general estimate as to value of contents?	15	did you attempt mediation or reevaluation of the claim?
16	A. Correct.	16	A. I did not attempt a mediation or reevaluation
17	Q. And once you hit a sufficient number that it	17	because of those issues I just mentioned. That I was
18	exhausted the available contents coverage, he said, hey,	18	dealing with this, and I thought there was there would
19	hold off, you don't even need to go any further. I can't	19	be time to address that. In in the at some point
20	pay more than my limits. Right?	20	State Farm contacted me and offered me a a settlement
21	A. We we were past it at the time, and he said,	21	
22	yes, that that's enough. He said, there's depreciation	22	of — I — somewhere in the \$27,000 range, if I remember
23	in there, too, so you have more than enough. Stop there.	23	correctly, and and then I told them that was insulting, I guess. Yeah.
24	We can do this.	24	
25	Q. Right. And he didn't withhold depreciation on	25	Q. I'm going to show you a document. It's A. Sure.
F-	a, b, c,		
	Page 82		Page 84
1	the flood payments, right? He paid you the full amount of	1	Q titled "Mississippi Katrina Resolution
2	the limit?	2	Form"
3	A. Because the figure was higher than the limit.	3	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
4	Q. Yes, sir, exactly. I understand.	4	Q and it's part of plaintiffs' production,
5	A. Yes.	5	Spansel v. State Farm 0273 through 276. I just want you
6	Q. All right. So while the flood adjuster and you	6	to take a look at it, Mr. Spansel, and tell me
7	are there's some dealings there, and then	7	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
8	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).	8	Q if you recognize that document.
9	Q a check is tendered. Was it some time	9	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)
10	before somebody contacted you about the homeowner's claim?	10	A. (Examining.) Okay.
11	A. He was the homeowner guy, also.	11	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Do you, in fact, recognize
12	Q. Okay. He told you I was going to he was	12	that document?
13	going to look at both policies?	13	A. Yes.
14	A. Correct.	14	Q. And is that your signature and your wife's
15	Q. All right. Did he provide you with any	15	signature on the fourth page?
16	expectations about coverage under the homeowner's policy?	16	A. That is correct.
17	A. He said they would review it. He alerted me	17	Q. Okay. The dates of those signatures is it
18	that I was not entitled to any	18	June of 2007?
1.9	Q. ALE?	19	A. That is correct.
20	A ALE. And he said that they would be working	20	Q. Okay. The offer of 27,000 did that come
21	on that part, and they would be in touch.	21	after your submission of these Katrina resolution forms?
22	Q. Okay. What was the next event in the	22	A. That, I don't know, but I'm sure you you
23	adjustment?	23	would know that better than me.
24	A. I do believe I got my flood checks, and then I	24	Q. Okay. My suspicion is that that's a response
25	got a letter denying my homeowner's.	25	to your submission of these resolution forms, but I wasn't
		5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

		,	22 (Pages 85 to 88
	Page 85		Page 87
1	sure if that would also be your recollection that you	1	letter is worded, it's
2	submitted this information and then got that offer.	2	Q. You presume -
3	A. Oh, I have no recollection of that the	3	A probable
4	the the time the timing of that.	4	Qso?
5	Q. Okay. Is it still correct, as represented on	5	A but I - I - I don't recall specifically.
6	the third page of this document, that you've received no	6	Okay.
7	moneys from any of other source for this loss? My	7	Q. Did you understand as you read this letter that
8	examples being the MDA or the SBA.	8	State Farm was identifying certain causes of loss that
9	A. No, nothing.	9	were not going to be covered under your homeowner's
10	Q. Okay. Before hiring your attorneys, did you	10	policy? That that was the purpose for them to quote these
11	ever retain a public adjuster or someone in an attempt to	11	sections from your policy to identify causes of loss that
12	negotiate some sort of a compromise with State Farm?	12	were not covered by the homeowner's policy? When he says,
13	A. No.	13	unfortunately —
14	Q. Did you ever retain any sort of a contractor or	14	A. Well
15	an engineer or anyone to give you an opinion as to what	15	Q. – these causes of –
16	caused the loss?	16	A. I-
17	A. No.	17	Q. — loss are not covered, you understood that he
18	MR. TUCKER: Let's make this number four.	18	was alerting you to the fact that there would be excluded
19		19	~ ·
20	MRS. HURDER: (Nods head affirmatively.)	!	causes of loss under the homeowner's policy?
21	(Exhibit 4 marked for identification.)	20	A. Well, I see how the the letter reads. I
22	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Let me pass you a document	21	wasn't in agreement with that, but I certainly was in a
	now, Mr. Spansel, dated September 28th, 2005. It's made	22	position where my flood payments were – were needed.
23	out to you at the Baton Rouge condo address.	23	Q. When the property at Sandy Hook had flooded a
24	A. Okay.	24	couple of years before Katrina
25	Q. It is produced by plaintiffs in their	25	A. Correct.
	Page 86		Page 88
1	production, Spansel v. State Farm 0294 through 296.	1	Q you made a claim at that time under your
2	MRS. HURDER: (Examining.)	2	flood policy?
3	A. (Examining.) I I find this well, that	3	A. That is correct.
4	was my adjuster, Avery Murrah. "Based on our phone	4	Q. Did you understand that those losses were only
5	conversation and other facts, our investigation showed	5	compensable under your flood policy? That they were not
6	that some of your property was damaged as a result of a	6	compensable under your homeowner's policy?
7	storm surge, wave wash, and flood. Unfortunately that	7	A. Yes. Yes, I did.
8	damage to your property is not covered under" your oh,	8	Q. Okay. And did you understand this letter and
9	they're they're addressing my homeowner's policy.	9	Mr. Murrah to be saying that, again, your flood losses are
10	Okay. Yes, I do. That looks familiar. Yes.	10	only compensable under your flood policy; they're excluded
11	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) When you told me earlier that	11	from coverage under your homeowner's policy?
12	after you received your payment for the flood damage	12	MRS. HURDER: Objection. Putting words into
13	A. Right.	13	the witness's mouth.
14	Q you then also received a denial of your	14	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) My question is did you
15	homeowner's coverage?	15	understand that? You understood at the time of your first
16	A. Correct.	16	flood loss which policy to make the claim under?
17	Q. And you noticed, obviously, that this purports	17	A. I - I do understand that those are individual
18	to have included your payments, right?	18	policies, and based on the event, you — you file a claim
19	A. That's quite possible. Yeah.	19	with a specific policy. When I flooded, it was
20	Q. And so this letter do you recall receiving	20	obviously it was rising water, and there was no wind that
21	it at that time contemporaneous with the receipt of the	21	affected by house. My roof was intact. In in the case
	flood	22	of Katrina, you — you would have to have an eyewitness
22			
	A. Do	23	to to know exactly what hannoned And and that is
22 23 24	A. Do O payments?	23	to to know exactly what happened. And and that is
	<ul><li>A. Do</li><li>Q payments?</li><li>A I recall receiving it, no. The way that</li></ul>	23 24 25	to to know exactly what happened. And and that is not very untypical of how State Farm went about their business early in the early days of Katrina. You're

Γ		Į.	23 (Pages 89 to 92)
	Page 89		Page 91
1	suggesting that I should not have cashed my or	1	A. Yes.
2	deposited my flood claim money?	2	Q. Okay. And obviously you made calls to
3	Q. No, sir. My suggestion would be that in order	3	Mr. Saucier?
4	to recover under both policies, there would need to be	4	A. Correct.
5	damage covered by both policies.	5	Q. Okay. Did Mr. Murrah ever offer to meet you in
6	A. Well, in my opinion, there there there	6	person?
7	was damage covered by both policies.	7	A. I offered to meet him, at which time he — he
8	Q. Okay. And we're getting to it. My next	8	said it wasn't necessary.
9	question would be, you would agree with me that there was	9	Q. Okay. Did he explain why he didn't think that
10	flood damage at the property, would you not?	10	that would be necessary?
11	A. Yes.	11	A. No.
12	Q. You made a flood claim. You collected flood	12	Q. Okay. Was Mr. Murrah always professional and
13	money. You agree with me there was flood damage. You	13	courteous with you?
14	also take the position that there was wind damage —	14	A. The two times I spoke with him on the phone, he
15	A. Correct.	15	was very much so.
16	Q is that correct? Okay. And so we could	16	Q. Okay. Did you record any conversations you may
17	agree that there was at least this amount, 148,4 in	17	have had with Mr. Murrah?
18	dwelling caused by flood, and at least \$100,000 in	18	A. No.
19	contents losses caused by flood, correct?	19	
20	A. At least that number.	20	Q. The initial payment that you described
21		21	receiving at the Baton Rouge office do you recall it
22	Q. Okay. And then what we're here about today is	Î	being \$2,500?
23	something in excess of those amounts that you would contend were caused by wind? Fair enough?	22	A. If my memory serves me correctly, I received
24	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	23	two equal payments. I do not remember the exact amount.
25	A. Fair enough. Q. Okay. To be frank with you, some folks, you	24	Q. Okay. And you told me it had to be refunded.
2 3	Q. Oray. To be Halik with you, some torks, you	25	Did you actually write out a check and turn it back over?
	Page 90		Page 92
1	know, would tell me that there was no flood at their	1	Is that what you meant by refunded?
2	property, you know, that it was all a wind loss. But I	2	A. You know, that that is my recollection that
3	need to clarify what sort of testimony I can expect from	3	I received two payments, and y'all would certainly have -
4	you as a witness if this case were to proceed to a trial.	4	you you y'all would have more knowledge of that
5	MR. TUCKER: Let's make this the fifth exhibit.	5	or at at hand than than I do. And how they got
6	(Exhibit 5 marked for identification.)	6	it back, I I doubt a wrote a check. I think it was
7	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Since retaining your	7	deducted from some future payment.
8	attorneys, have you met with any expert or engineer or	8	Q. Yes, sir. Sort of like an advance?
9	meteorologist who they may have retained to conduct any	9	A. Yes.
10	sort of an investigation into your loss?	10	Q. Okay. So it wasn't you know, it wasn't a
11	A. No.	11	gift? It was an advance?
12	Q. Okay. Have you spoken with anyone of that	12	A. It was an advance.
13	nature by telephone?	13	Q. Okay. Were you aware that there was a
14	A. No.	14	mediation program following Hurricane Katrina which
15	Q. Okay. Have you reviewed any reports or other	15	provided an opportunity for insureds to try and resolve
16	materials which may have been generated by any such	16	their losses short of litigation?
17	person?	17	A. Yes.
18	A. No.	18	Q. Okay. Did you consider participating in that
19	Q. You described for me at the Verde house in	19	mediation program?
20	Kenner many adjusters being involved in the process.	20	A. I understood you would need a – an engineer, a
21	A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)	21	meteorologist, have video or have something compelling.
22	Q. Was that also the case for the Mississippi	22	None of which I had. And State Farm was in the news on a
23	loss?	23	regular basis concerning their handling of Katrina claims
24	A. No.	24	in Mississippi, in particular. And I chose to put my time
25	Q. Did you only deal with Mr. Murrah?	25	and efforts in rebuilding my business and my home and
	A. wa loa and acut attribite 14th 14th	دع	and error to in renaming my dusiness and my nome and

24 (Pages 93 to 96)

		,	24 (Pages 93 to 96)
	Page 93		Page 95
1	chose to deal with this later when - when the air kind of	1	A. Say that again.
2	cleared. It was only after receiving the offer that I	2	Q. Sure. I think
3	thought I would eventually receive, even though I got that	3	A. That's
4	denial letter, and that I and that and that was	4	Q it's as funny as you do.
5	after many, many months did I decide to take this	5	A. Yeah, That's pretty tricky.
6	particular route. It was not my first choice.	6	Q. Okay. The allegation in the complaint and the
7	Q. Did you talk with anyone who participated in	7	allegation made elsewhere in
8	the mediation program in Mississippi about their	8	A. Okay.
9	experience?	9	Q this litigation is that this thing called a
10	A. I did talk to a — a friend of mine,	10	hurricane deductible
11	Dr. Charles Murphy. However, he came back to a house that	11	A. Right.
12	was he actually came back to a house.	12	G
13	Q. Okay. Did he describe a positive outcome or	13	Q meant that you were covered in the event of
14	have a negative experience as a result of the attempted	14	a hurricane for any damages that might happen. Did you understand that to be what the hurricane deductible was?
15	mediation?		
16		15	A. Surely y'all would not be offering or writing
17	A. I I I surely do not want to speak for	16	in a hurricane deductible if you did not plan to keep that
l	him, but the impression is that under his particular set	17	deductible when you paid me for hurricane damage.
1.8	of circumstances, he was content with the outcome.	18	Q. Yes, sir.
19	(Off the record.)	19	A. Okay.
20	(A short recess was taken.)	20	Q. And, in fact, was it your understanding, that
21	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Mr. Spansel, one of the	21	in the event of a hurricane your deductible was going to
22	allegations included in the complaint, which your	22	be higher than it normally would in the event of some
23	attorneys prepared and which started the litigation,	23	other loss?
24	addresses something called the hurricane deductible. Were	24	A. Yes.
25	you aware that your policy contained or included a	25	Q. Okay. And so there was no misapprehension that
	Page 94		Page 96
1	hurricane deductible? If you want, we can look, also, at	1	it in some way created coverage? It was just a larger
2	the	2	deductible in the event of a hurricane?
3	A. It	3	A. I wouldn't put it quite like you put it. I
4	Q Exhibit	4	bought this policy. It it covered hurricanes. It
5	A was in	5	certainly wind damage, a tornado it it covered a
6	Q3.	6	casualty loss. This little caveat here in case of a
7	A 2005.	7	hurricane, there would be a higher deductible. Okay.
8	Q. You'll see here is where the deductible	8	Y'all experienced high losses the previous years. That's
9	information is on the left-hand side of that document.	9	legit.
1.0	A. I'm I'm going to yes. I I was aware	10	Q. Okay. I think we're on the same page. I
11	of it. And if you if you go back to which is the	11	follow you.
12	one before the Ivan? I think that certainly Ivan prompted	12	MRS. HURDER: Are you done with your answer?
13	all of the insurance companies to look at their policy	13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
1.4	differently. Yes.	14	MRS. HURDER: Okay. You get to finish if you
15	Q. What was your understanding as to what a	15	had something
16	hurricane deductible meant?	16	THE WITNESS: Oh
17	A. Instead of a standard deductible that typically	17	MRS. HURDER: else
1.8	applies to homeowner's policies, that a there would be	18	THE WITNESS: well
1.9		19	MRS. HURDER: to say, is my point.
20	Q. And that percentage would apply in the event of	20	THE WITNESS: Okay.
21	a named storm, a hurricane?	21	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Oh
22	A. Correct,	22	A. Well
23	Q. Okay. You were not under any impression that	23	Q I'm sorry.
24		24	A well
25		25	Q. I thought (unintelligible)
		,	A. * months (numericalisis)

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

		(	25 (Pages 97 to 100
	Page 97		Page 99
1	A well, I did I did have -	1	A would
2	MRS. HURDER: You looked like you had	2	Q flood?
3 som	nething —	3	A need a separate flood policy if you expect
4	A. I	4	to collect on flood.
5	COURT REPORTER: One at a time.	5	Q. Okay. Thank you. I noticed in the photographs
6	A. Okay. I did have one other thought. I said	6	a number of trees on the property.
7 that	t is legit. The point is that when you purchase this	7	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
8 poli	icy, you're purchasing it for a number of casualty	8	Q. Were some trees downed as a result of the
9 loss	es, and hurricane is one of them.	9	storm?
LO	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Okay. You understood, did you	10	A. I had I had a number of pine trees on the
l 1 not,	, that certain losses were excluded from homeowner's	11	property, and I say a number I had greater than nine.
2 cov	erage?	12	Don't have that exact calculation. I also had some oak
. 3	A. A flood event?	13	trees on the property. Some pines - I think there was a
. 4	Q. Yes, sir. We're having one now.	14	pine that was uprooted and down, but most of my pines were
	A. Gee.	15	snapped, and there should be photos of that in this
. 6	Q. That's a lot of rain. Well -	16	Q. Okay. Do you have any estimate as to the
. 7	A. But I'll go on to say that Katrina was a	17	height at which those pines were snapped?
	ricane.	18	A. Did okay. Do did I do I have that
	Q. Yes, sir.	19	estimate? No. It –
	A. Okay.	20	MRS. HURDER: And -
	Q. Katrina was a hurricane. And is there any	21	A. There –
	igreement between us that part of the Hurricane Katrina	22	MRS. HURDER: object
	nt was a flood event?	23	Awere
	A. I like the way you phrased that. It part	24	MRS. HURDER: to the extent it calls for
25 was	_	25	speculation.
			$w_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}$
	Page 98		Page 100
	Q. Yes, sir.	1	A. But but I did view it, so I can I can
	A. There was also another part.	2	answer that they were mid-trunk, mid mid they were
3	Q. Okay. And the homeowner's coverage is for the	3	pretty high. I - I don't know exactly
4 oth	er part?	4	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Yeah.
5	A. That is correct.	5	A you know.
	Q. Okay. And the fact that there's a hurricane	6	Q. I understand. Let me give you something to
	luctible doesn't mean that it also covers the flood	7	compare it to. You know
8 eve		8	A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
	A. Oh, no.	9	Q how sometimes folks are talking distance,
0	Q. That's the position that's been taken many	10	they'll say football fields. Well, let's say if you're
1 tim		11	looking at these, are they one story up, two stories up?
2	A. I-I-	12	If you pictured a house next to those trees, are they two,
	Q. You understand I'm just trying to	13	three stories before the break, if you have an estimate?
	A. Okay.	14	A. Yeah. I think it would depend on the height of
	Q make sure that you're not taking that -	15	the pine tree the at the time of the storm,
	A. I'm aware –	16	so I'm going to say it varies.
	Q position?	17	Q. Were they broken at different heights?
	A that I have two separate policies.	18	A. Yes.
9	Q. Okay. And that the hurricane deductible	19	Q. Okay. It wasn't as though like one shear
0 doe	sn't mean that flood is covered by the homeowner's	20	you know, something had sheared them all at the
1 poli	icy, if it's caused by a	21	A. Well
2	A. You would	22	Q same height?
3	Q hurricane	23	A Lucky, to be honest with you, I did not go
. 1	A you	24	out there and observe
2.4			

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

			26 (Pages 101 to 104
	Page 101		Page 103
1	A that I had other issues on my mind,	1	back here at some point, but, no, I I have not drawn up
2 b	ut	2	plans or contracted with anyone. No. I think it would be
3	Q. Sure.	3	premature.
4	A I I do understand the question, but I	4	Q. And similar to the contents loss
5 <b>c</b>	an't specifically answer it.	5	A. Yeah.
6	Q. Okay. Were there any downed trees that	6	Q the reason that I ask is to try to place
7 a	ctually were on the slab?	7	some sort of a figure on what we're discussing. There's
8	A. No.	8	been a full payment under the flood, and
9	Q. Okay. One of the other things that is often	9	A. Right.
10 c	laimed is emotional distress related to the way that	10	Q the limits were very close, you know, the
11 <b>S</b>	tate Farm adjusted the loss or didn't. And my question	11	amount of the homeowner's coverage was close to the amount
12 <b>is</b>	s: Are you of the opinion that you should be compensated	12	of the flood coverage, so
13 in	n part for emotional distress related to State Farm's	13	A. Okay.
14 h	andling of your Hurricane Katrina loss in Mississippi?	14	Q I'm trying to determine if you were, in
15	A. If this deposition lasts any longer, maybe.	15	fact, underinsured or not. But you don't have any
16 I	I think it's it's a shame that I'm in this	16	information today to provide me that would say this is
17 <b>y</b>	ears afterwards still dealing with it. (Witness cries.)	17	what it will cost to put this house back as it was before
	don't know why I'm so I apologize. I am that	18	the storm? And that's okay, if the answer's -
19 <b>w</b>	vasn't my intent when when I contacted an attorney.	19	A. Yeah.
	I wanted what was I wanted a fair settlement, a	20	Q no, but
21 <b>j</b> i	ust settlement. And I'm not looking for anything I don't	21	A. No. The answer's no, and I do not know
	eserve or entitled to or paid for.	22	building cost in Mississippi or what would be required, if
23	Q. The reason I asked the question about the	23	there's any code changes or no, I do not have that
24 <b>e</b>	motional distress is to follow up on the claim. If there	24	answer.
	one, what my question then becomes is whether any sort	25	Q. Okay. Have you cleaned the debris off of the
Procedure Control of the Control of	Page 102		Page 104
1 0	f treatment has been sought. For example, have you seen	1	property?
	counselor or a psychiatrist or even a family physician?	2	A. We we made let's see. If I remember
	and if that becomes a part of the claim, then it's	3	right, the I think the Corps of Engineers came in at
	omething I either need to address or be able to	4	some point after Katrina and cleared the slab and
5	A. No, I have not sought — and I'm no, I	5	and any downed trees. Subsequent to that, to, I guess, be
6 h	ave I have I have not sought anyone. I you	6	a good neighbor and to expedite the recovery of the area,
	now, it's it's a distressing issue to to lose	7	(witness cries), I – I had a – a company come in and
	omething, and it's no fault of State Farm's that I felt	8	remove my slab and drive and and and cut down the
	vas going to be a legacy and stay in the family. And	9	pine trees and — and make — make the property
	t's but it is distressing to have to I've never	10	presentable, I guess.
	ued anyone, ever. I don't like the position that y'all	11	Q. Okay. How much did you pay for that work?
	ave have put me in. (Witness cries.)	12	A. Let's see. We're in 2009 2007 I I
13	MRS. HURDER: And for the record, the plaintiff	13	I think was 7,500, but I — I do have that receipt.
1.4 is	s visibly upset.	14	And and it was from a company that had a sign tacked to
15	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) The only other question, I	15	a telephone pole or something.
16 <b>g</b>	uess, I really have for you at this time, Mr. Spansel, is	16	Q. Okay. Had you received an opinion from anybody
17 w	thether any estimates for repair or rebuilding have been	17	as to whether the slab was reusable or ruined?
18 <b>o</b>	btained from any sources by you. Do you understand my	18	A. Oh, no. No, I did not.
	uestion?	19	Q. Okay. And I believe you told me you said,
20	A. Yes. At at this point it's you know,	20	we'll be back.
21 <b>n</b>	naybe I there should have been some homework that I	21	A. Yeah.
	yould have done to bring in, you know, a document to make	22	Q. Is it your intent to rebuild a home at this
	ny case look better. But pretty much until this is	23	property?
	esolved, it's it's nothing I can really proceed	24	A. We would we would love to.

27 (Pages 105 to 108)

			27 (rages 100 to 100)
	Page 105		Page 107
1	appreciate your time today. I thank you for being here	1	purchase the the the renovation was upstairs, you
2	and cooperating with me like you did. I have no further	2	know, porches upstairs and painting throughout, but we
3	questions. I tender the witness.	3	we did very little to the ground floor. This gave us an
4	EXAMINATION BY MRS, HURDER:	4	opportunity then - I was - I had to cut out sheetrock,
5	Q. I have just a couple of questions.	5	so we raised electrical outlets. We put a kitchen in
6	A. Okay.	6	downstairs. We renovated the bath area, put in a shower
7	Q. I know it's been a long morning.	7	and ceramic tile. And and ended up spending close to
8	A. Oh, no. No. I'm I'm I apologize again	8	50, which was obviously over and above the the 31 that
9	for this is typically not me, but	9	we received. And so it - it was completely redone at
10	MR. TUCKER: No need.	10	at the time of the at at the time of Katrina. Yeah.
11	Q. (By Mrs. Hurder) It's a difficult experience	11	Q. Okay. So sometime around 2002, you spent
12	to recount. To be brief, Mr. Spansel, was there anything	12	approximately 50,000 renovating the downstairs?
13	else about State Farm's handling of your claim that you've	13	A. And and I got you know, it was a
14	not expressed already that you were dissatisfied with?	14	definitely September event. If you — if we go and look
15	A. You know, I think at at that at the time	15	this up and we find that it's September of 2003, that
16	when I was dealing with this, I mean, State Farm was in	16	would not be unreasonable. It was '2 or '3 when we did
17	in the news and not so much in a good light. And and I	17	this renovation. Yes. Uh-huh (affirmative).
18	knew people were doing mediation, but I I never really	18	Q. One last thing, I'd like to see Exhibit 5.
19	had I never had a video, or or there was nothing	19	(Mr. Tucker tenders document.)
20	standing to get an expert witness. So I I and I	20	MRS. HURDER: Thanks.
21	chose at that time just to see how things would would	21	Q. (By Mrs. Hurder) Okay. If you would please
22	play out in with the the hope that at some point	22	read the second and third sentence of that first paragraph
23	they would they State Farm would oh, gosh that	23	out loud.
24	they they would come around. I don't I don't know	24	A. Okay. This is addressed to me. "The damage to
25	if that's the right word. If it I'm having a hard time	25	your property may have been caused by wind and water. We
ļ	Page 106		Page 108
1	expressing. I I was hoping, I guess, for some type of	1	are continuing to investigate that portion of your loss
2	settlement offer to which I eventually got, but it was	2	caused by wind."
3	then very disappointing. And I I never still never	3	Q. Thank you. And what was the date of that
4	had ammunition in the sense of the things, I guess,	4	letter?
5	that that they were looking for to to go back to	5	A. September 28, 2005.
6	them to get them to look at it with a oh, I apologize.	6	Q. Okay. And it was signed by, you said, your
7	Q. That's okay.	7	adjuster Avery Murrah; is that correct?
8	A. Look at it with a better eye based on what I	8	A. That is correct.
9	lost, and so the whole process is is kind of	9	Q. Okay. Did you ever hear anything else from
10	the whole the whole process is disappointing. I'm	10	Mr. Murrah subsequent to that letter regarding his
11	sorry for rambling there, but I could have said that much	11	investigation, ongoing investigation?
12	quicker, but okay.	12	A. No.
13	Q. No problem. You mentioned there might have	13	MRS. HURDER: Okay. Thank you. I tender the
1.4	been additional renovations to the house between the time	14	witness.
1.5	you bought it and prior to Hurricane Katrina that you	15	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. TUCKER:
16	had	16	Q. Yeah. I'm sorry, Mr. Spansel. I guess Exhibit
17	A. Well	17	D to the complaint that was filed in this matter is
18	Q not mentioned?	18	another letter, and it's I agree it's an incomplete
19	A the the only other renovation	19	letter dated in October 6th of 2005.
20	was we had the initial renovation when when I	20	A. Okay.
21	collected from from the the storm/hurricane. I	21	Q. You're welcome to take it. Yet, again, though,
22	the name it was it was definitely named. I don't	22	is that not another correspondence from State Farm
23	on on the flood, and I think the it was 31,000 that	23	following up on the wind claim? And it's part of what was
24 25	we had. We we took that as an opportunity to	24	provided by the plaintiffs in this case.
23	completely renovate the downstairs because at the time of	25	A. Well, it it that is correct. This is

28 (Pages 109 to 112)

Page 109	20 (rages 10) co 112 Page 111
dated October 8th, and it's it's slightly different	1 possible that I did now, you know, we're going back
2 than the September 28th. But it it also says, "This	2 four years. But it's thereabouts you know, I guess
3 follows our conversation regarding your property where we	3 it is possible that I did call them looking for an
4 discussed the damage to your second home residence. The	4 explanation. No, I did not have anything else for him to
5 damage to your property may have been caused by wind and	5 consider. You have to remember we're we're talking a
6 water. We are continuing to investigate that portion of	6 month after the storm.
your loss caused by wind."	7 Q. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Would it be your recollection, Mr. Spansel,	8 A. What would I have, but
9 that after receiving this September letter from State	9 Q. But State Farm had advised that their
Farm, that you contacted Mr. Murrah and had a discussion	investigation remained open as to the wind, and that
11 about the denial of the claim and then an additional	additional information could be provided. The contents
12 letter was sent to you in October just to follow up again	list was not provided. Building estimates were not
on not only providing the basis for the denial, but the	provided. Expert opinions were not provided. Additional
14 fact that if new information was presented, State Farm	14 information was not provided after the date of these
would be available to consider that?	letters, was there?
A. I can't say for sure that there was no contact.	A. I never took this this wording to be that
17 I would imagine I and it's speculation, but that I may	that they wanted estimates of rebuilding. If I've already
have contacted them based on this letter and said, you	gave them an estimate in October of rebuilding on that
know, how how can you deny that? It it's funny how	property, I mean, that that would be a little foolish.
20 this is worded, though. This says "Unfortunately" and	20 Codes weren't in. Who's going to it that number
21 I'm referring to the the letter of the of September	21 would have been astronomical. I I took this as y'all
22 28th "Unfortunately that damage to your property is not	22 were looking for some proof that wind did it, and I I
covered under the policy identified above." Then we go	don't have that proof. And today, I don't have that
back about a week later, and the October 6th letter say,	proof, so I I that that doesn't seem like a a
25 "We are continuing to investigate that portion of your	fair assessment of this letter, you know. We're we're
Page 110	Page 112
loss caused by wind," so it seems to be contradictory.	in 2009, and we can look back, and, you know, read it that
2 They denied on the 28th, and they're still investigating	2 way. When I got it, I wasn't reading it that way that he
3 it on the on the 6th.	3 wanted a contents list and a an estimate to rebuild.
4 Q. Yes, sir. I'll just point out to you that	4 Q. No, sir.
5 that	5 A. Well
6 A. Sure.	6 O. But my only point is simply that State Farm had
7 Q. — unfortunately language —	7 indicated the investigation was open, and it ended the
8 A. Oh, no. That is also in there, but that's the	8 letter with a request for any additional information you
9 "Unfortunately that damage to your property is not covered	9 might have. Now whether that information was available to
under the policyabove," yeah, is in both letters.	10 you or not
11 Q. Yes, sir.	11 MRS. HURDER: On September 28th they had said
12 A. Anyway, to answer your your question, I	12 that,
13 guess, yeah, here it there is another letter.	13 MR. TUCKER: Well, you know, I wasn't provided
Q. And would you tell me the last thing that's	by y'all with a complete October 6th letter, but I promise
15 written in the letter of September, the Exhibit 5? It's	you that's the language that closes that letter, as well.
-	16 A. We're only talking a week's different
on that third page. It's the last thing that Mr. Murrah	17 we're it says the same here's the 28th. Here's
17 concludes in his letter.	18 October 6th.
A. "If you have additional information you would	
19 like us to consider you have not previously submitted, or	Q. (By Mr. Tucker) Yes, sir.
20 if you desire" an "explanation of this letter, please	A. What would have changed on my part?
21 contact me."	Q. No, sir. You're
Q. And was any additional information ever	A. What would have been expected of me then?
submitted to State Farm after that date?	Q. The only reason I asked another follow-up
A. Well, I guess seeing this October 6th letter	question of you was that it seemed to me the point had
25 "or if you desire any explanation," so it is then quite	been made that State Farm didn't make any further contact

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

Page 113   Page 115	<b></b>			29 (Pages 113 to 116)
swap point to be made for my elient that, in fact, no additional information was provided by the plaintiffs in this case, either, prior to suit being filed. The only thing that was provided was the Kartina resolution form, which we attached here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, latow of no other information — A. No — O. —that — A. —not. O. —that —		Page 113		Page 115
2 sms point to he made for my client that, in fact, no additional information was provided by the plantiffs in this case, either, prior to suit being filed. The only thing that was provided was the Karrian resolution form, which we attacked here as Eshibilit 4, and apart from that, I know of no other information — A. No — O. —that — O. —start Faron? O. —that —	1	with you about their investigation. And I just want the	1	CERTIFICATE OF DEPONENT
stakes in the hereis styled and numbered cause, certify that this case, either, prior to sult being filed. The only thing that was provided was the Katrina resolution form, which we attacked here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, Lknow of no their information –  A. No —  O. — that —  A. — not.  O. — that —  O. — th	2	-	2	
this tase, either, prior to sait being filed. The only thing that was provided was the Katrian resolution form, which we attached here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, I know of so other information - A. A. No- O. —that	3	·	3	taken in the herein styled and numbered cause, certify
s thing that was provided was the Katrina resolution forms, which we attached here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, that we are selected here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, that we are selected here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, that we are selected here. The selection is selected the contain a fail and true transcript of the testimony as given by me on July 14, 2009, in Bay St. Lois, Mississippi.  Subject to those corrections listed below, if any selection of the testimony as given by me on July 14, 2009, in Bay St. Lois, Mississippi.  A. — not.  A. — no	4		4	that I have examined the foregoing 116 pages as to the
s which we attached here as Exhibit 4, and apart from that, 1 Lons of no other information - 2 A. No - 3 Qthat - 10 Anot. 11 Qyou've provided - 2 A. No - 3 Qto - 3 A1 - 4 A1 - 5 Q State Farm? 16 A1 - 17 Q State Farm? 17 This the day of , 2009. 18 that's correct. Yes. 18 that's correct. Yes. 19 A. But - but my - once again, I was - and then 18 this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware 19 that the additional information that y'all were requesting as meaning with that. That - a estimate to 20 get a constructor and have him submit a - a estimate to 21 trebuild when the - when her hee - the claim is denied? It - it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some - or some thing that - that it's not in my ability to provide you. 21 MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. 22 Again, thank you for your time today. 23 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 24 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 25 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 26 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 27 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 28 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 38 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 39 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 40 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 42 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 43 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 44 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 45 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 46 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 47 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 48 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 49 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 49 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 40 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 42 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 43 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 44 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 45 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 46 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 47 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 48 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 49 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 40 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 41 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 42 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 43 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 44 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 45 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 46 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 47 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 48 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)	5	-	1	
testimony as given by me on July 14, 2009, in Bay St.  A. No  Q that  A not.  Q you've provided  A. No  Q to  A looky, Olay, I didn't know exactly  where you were headed with that. That that is  this may be very naive on my part. But I vasar's ware  this may be very naive on my part. But I vasar's ware  that the additional information that y'all were requesting  was my claim was denied, so this additional information  is what Th going to put together a contents list or go  get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when the the claim is  denied? It it implies to me that you're looking for  some magic video eyewitness testimony or some or  something that that it's not in my ability to provide  you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:SS p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:SS p.m.)  MR. Tuckers: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:SS p.m.)  Turber certify that I denied pil to page content at the time and place herefore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  I further certify that I denied pil to page content at the time and place herefore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided reasscription.  I further certify that I denied pil to page content at the time and place herefore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided reasscription.  I further certify that I am of Mississippi, thereby was placed under on the year of where size in the final outcome of this matter.  With the further contr	6			
So A. No - Subject to those corrections listed below, if any, I find the transcript to be the correct (estimony I any, I find the transcript to the estimony of Charles (estimony or any, I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I find the transcript to the testimony of Charles (estimony or any I any, I any I	l	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
9 Q that - A not. 110	l		ł	•
10	l		1	
12 Q. —you've provided — 12 Page Line Comments 12 A. No.———————————————————————————————————	l	_		
A. No  Q to  A1-  15 Q State Farm?  A1- olay, Okay, I didn't know exactly  where you were headed with that. That that is  that's correct. Yes.  Q. 1 don't mean to helabor it, but it's  A. But but my once again, I was and then  this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware  that the additional information that y'all were requesting  was my claim was denied, so this additional information  is what - I'm going to put together a contents list or go  get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when when the the claim is  denied? It it implies to me that you're looking for  some magic video eyewiness testimony or some - or  something that that it's not in my ability to provide  you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  101  112  113  114  115  115  116  117  118  118  119  119  119  119  119	1		į.	<del>-</del>
13 Q to - 14 A1 - 15 Q State Farm? 16 A1 - olay. Okay. I didn't know exactly 17 where you were headed with that. That - that is - 18 that's correct. Yes. 19 Q. I don't mean to belabor it, but if's - 20 A. But - but my - once again, I was and then 21 this may be very naive on my part, But I wasn't aware 22 that the additional information that y'all were requesting 23 was - my claim was denied, so this additional information 24 is what - I'm going to put together a content list or go 25 get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to 26	l	- * *	į.	1 age Zine Commens
A1— O State Farm? A1— okay. Okay. I didn't know exactly where you were headed with that. That — that is — that's correct. Yes. O. I don't mean to belabor it, but it's — A. But — but my — once again, I was — and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional laformation that y'all were requesting was — my claim was denied, so this additional information is what — I'm going to put together a confents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a — a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the — when — when the — the claim is denied? II — it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TLCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TlcKer: No further questions for you sir.  Again thank you for your	l		14	
15 Q State Farm? 16 A I - olay. Olay. I didn't know exactly where you were headed with that. That that is - that's correct. Yes. Q. I don't mean to belabor it, but it's - A. but - but my - once again, I was and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information in that y'all were requesting was - my claim was denied, so this additional information is what I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a - a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when - when the the claim is denied? It It implies to me that you're looking for some magic vide eyewitness testimony or some or something that that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your dime today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your dime today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  The Again, thank you for your dime today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  The Again, thank you for your dime today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  The Again thank you for your dime today.  The Again thank you for your dim	l '		15	
A. — I — okay. Okay. I didn't know exactly where you were headed with that. That — that is — that's courrer. Ves.  Q. I don't mean to belabor it, but it's — A. But — but my — once again, I was — and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information that y'all were requesting was — my claim was denied, so this additional information is what — I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a — a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the — when — when the — the claim is denied? It — it implies to me that you're looking for some thing that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  This in day of,0009.  County of Subscribed and sworn to before me, this the day of,2009.  NOTARY PUBLIC  My Commission Expires:    CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER   And for the State Of Mississippi, hereby	l		16	
where you were headed with that. That – that is –  that's correct. Yes.  Q. Idou't mean to belabor it, but it's –  A. But – but my – once again, I was – and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware the additional information that y'all were requesting was – my claim was denied, so this additional information is what – I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a – a estimate to  Page 114  Page 114  rebuild when the – when — when the – the claim is denied? It – it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some – or something that – that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  The refused to typewritten form under my supervision by means of one opportance of the suffice state, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of or related under oath by me to truthfully asswer all questions to the matter.  The refused to typewritten form under my supervision by means of or related to any counsed or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  My Commission Expires:  Abstate of Mississippi  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  1, Sherry L Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Public, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby cereft that the foregoing 10 fapages contain a true an	I	~	17	This the day of , 2009.
that's correct. Yes.  Q. I don't mean to belabor it, but it's — A. But — but my — once again, I was — and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information that y'all were requesting was — my claim was denied, so this additional information is what — I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a — a estimate to  Page 114  Page 114  Page 114  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  State of Mississippi  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  I Sherry L Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Pagic, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing 16 pages contain a true and correct transcript of the testimony of CHARLES SPANSEL, as taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofore stated, as taken by sentopy and later a reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  If urther certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the  Witness my signature and seal this the  Witness my signature and seal this the  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566  My Commission Expires:  **State of Mississippi County of  County of  County of  County of  NOTARY PUBLIC  My Commission Expires:  **Page 116  **CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  1, Sherry L. Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Pablic, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foreign 16 pages contain a true and correct transcript of the testimony of CHARLES AND CHARLE	l	• • •	Ť	
Q. I don't mean to belabor it, but it's — A. But — but my — once again, I was — and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information that y'all were requesting was — my claim was denied, so this additional information is what — I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a — a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the — when — when the — the claim is denied? It — it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  I further certify that the affermentioned matter at the time and place heretofere stated, as taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofere stated, as taken by me in the sortenentioned matter at the time and place heretofere stated, as taken by me in the sortenentioned matter at the time and place heretofere stated, as taken by me in the sortenentioned matter at the time and place heretofere stated, as taken by me to the state of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  I further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of _2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 My Commission Expires:  South CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  I, Sherry L. Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Public, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby certify that I the foregoing life pages contain a true and or reduced to type-vritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  I further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this	1		19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
A. But – but my – once again, I was – and then this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information that y'all were requesting was – my claim was denied, so this additional information is what – I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a – a estimate to  Page 114  Page 114  Page 114  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  denied? It – it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some – or something that – that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Turther certify that under the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  If urther certify that I am not in the employ of of related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this natter.  Mr. Turther certify that I am not in the employ of of related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this natter.  Mr. Turther certify that I am not in the employ of of related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this natter.  Mr. Turther certify that I am not in the employ of July, 2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566  My Commission Expires:  22  33  34  34  35  Subscribed and sworn to before me, this the day of ,2009.  42  35  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  My Commission Expires:  24  15  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  NOTARY PUBLIC  My Commission Expires:  25  16  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER  NOTARY PUBLIC  My Commission Expires:  26  17  18  19  10  11  11  11  12  13  14  14  15  15  16  17	1			- <del></del>
this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware that the additional information that y'all were requesting was my chain was denied, so this additional information that y'all were requesting was my chain was denied, so this additional information what - I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when when the the claim is denied? It it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some or something that that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  (Time Noted: 12:64 p.m.)  Turther certify that under the authority vested in me by the precify that under the authority vested in me by the not are certify that the witness was placed under on the matter.  I further certify that under the authority vested in me by the not retardly any consisting this matter.  I further certify that under the authority vested in me by the not or retaided to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  I further certify that under the matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  I within smaller.  Sherry L PURVIS, CSR #1566  My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010	1		1	-
that the additional information that y'all were requesting was my claim was denied, so this additional information is what I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when - when the the claim is denied? It it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some or something that that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  I further certify that uted the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  I further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any coursed or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010	20	A. But but my once again, I was and then	ZI	•
that the additional information that y'all were requesting was my claim was denied, so this additional information is what I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the when when the the claim is denied? It it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some or something that that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  It uses the foregoing 116 pages contain a true and correct transcript of the testimony of CHARLES SPANSEL, as taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-added transcription.  I further certify that under the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  I further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the  day of July, 2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566  My Commission Expires:  May S, 2010	21	this may be very naive on my part. But I wasn't aware	22	day of , 2009.
was - my claim was denied, so this additional information is what - I'm going to put together a contents list or go 25 get a contractor and have him submit a - a estimate to  Page 114  rebuild when the - when - when the - the claim is denied? It - it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some - or something that - that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Interpretation of my beta staken by stenotype and later reduced to typeritien form under my supervision by means of computer-aided and ym to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  If urther certify that under the authority vested in me and ym to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  If urther certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  If urther certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010	22	that the additional information that y'all were requesting	1	
si what — I'm going to put together a contents list or go get a contractor and have him submit a — a estimate to   24    My Commission Expires:	23	was my claim was denied, so this additional information		NOTARY PUBLIC
Page 114   Page 116  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER I, Sherry L, Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Some magic video eyewitness testimony or some – or  something that – that it's not in my ability to provide  you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Page 116  CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER I, Sherry L, Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary  Public, in and for the State of Mississippi, hereby  certify that the foregoing 116 pages contain a true and  correct transcript of the testimony of CHARLES SPANSEL, as  taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later  reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  I further certify that under the authority  was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  I further certify that I am not in the employ of a related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  SHERRY L PURVIS, CSR #1566 My Commission Expires: May 5, 2010	24	is what I'm going to put together a contents list or go	24	
rebuild when the — when — when the — the claim is denied? It — it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Ture Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Ture Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Ture Poster and Notary  Again, thank you for your time today.  Ture Poster and For the State of Mississippi, hereby certify that the foregoing 116 pages contain a true and certify that the foregoing 116 pages co	25	get a contractor and have him submit a a estimate to	25	
denied? It — it implies to me that you're looking for some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Cime Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Iturher certify that the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  Iturher certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsed or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the		Page 114	77100	Page 116
some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Time Noted: 12:58 p.	1	rebuild when the when when the the claim is	1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER
some magic video eyewitness testimony or some — or something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir.  Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Time Noted: 12:58 p.	2	denied? It - it implies to me that you're looking for	2	I, Sherry L. Purvis, Court Reporter and Notary
something that — that it's not in my ability to provide you.  MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  If urther certify that under the authority  tvested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  If urther certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 My Commission Expires: May 5, 2010	3		3	
5 you. 6 MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. 7 Again, thank you for your time today. 8 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 9 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 9 (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.) 10	4	something that that it's not in my ability to provide	4	certify that the foregoing 116 pages contain a true and
MR. TUCKER: No further questions for you, sir. Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  It is in the aforementioned matter at the time and place heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  It is in the certify that under the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  It is in the matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010  Again, thank you for your time today.  It defends the authority vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  It further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010	5		5	correct transcript of the testimony of CHARLES SPANSEL, as
Again, thank you for your time today.  (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  page (Time Noted: 12:58 p.m.)  predict of typewritten form under my supervision by means of computer-aided transcription.  I further certify that under the authority  vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness  was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all  questions in the matter.  I further certify that I am not in the employ  of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and  have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final  outcome of this matter.  Witness my signature and seal this the  day of July, 2009.  SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566  My Commission Expires:  May 5, 2010  23  24	6	•	6	taken by me in the aforementioned matter at the time and
8   reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means   9   of computer-aided transcription.   10   I further certify that under the authority   11   vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness   12   was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all   questions in the matter.   14   I further certify that I am not in the employ   15   of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and   have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final   16   have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final   17   outcome of this matter.   18   Witness my signature and seal this the   day of July, 2009.   20   SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566   My Commission Expires:   May 5, 2010   23   24   Each of the state	7	• • • •	7	place heretofore stated, as taken by stenotype and later
9 of computer-aided transcription. 10 1 further certify that under the authority 11 vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness 12 was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all 13 questions in the matter. 14 I further certify that I am not in the employ 15 of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and 16 have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final 17 outcome of this matter. 18 Witness my signature and seal this the 19 day of July, 2009. 20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 21 My Commission Expires: May 5, 2010 23 24	8		8	reduced to typewritten form under my supervision by means
vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all questions in the matter.  14 I further certify that I am not in the employ of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final outcome of this matter.  17 outcome of this matter.  18 Witness my signature and seal this the day of July, 2009.  20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 My Commission Expires: May 5, 2010  23 24		()	9	of computer-aided transcription.
11 vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness 12 was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all 13 questions in the matter. 14 I further certify that I am not in the employ 15 of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and 16 have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final 17 outcome of this matter. 18 Witness my signature and seal this the 19 day of July, 2009. 20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 21 My Commission Expires: 22 May 5, 2010 23 24			10	I further certify that under the authority
12 was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all 13 questions in the matter. 14 I further certify that I am not in the employ 15 of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and 16 have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final 17 outcome of this matter. 18 Witness my signature and seal this the 19 day of July, 2009. 20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 22 My Commission Expires: May 5, 2010 23 24	l		11	vested in me by the State of Mississippi that the witness
13   questions in the matter.   14   I further certify that I am not in the employ   15   of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and   have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final   outcome of this matter.   17   outcome of this matter.   18   Witness my signature and seal this the   day of July, 2009.   20   21   SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566   22   My Commission Expires:   May 5, 2010   23   24	l		12	was placed under oath by me to truthfully answer all
14 I further certify that I am not in the employ 15 of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and 16 have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final 17 outcome of this matter. 18 Witness my signature and seal this the	l		13	questions in the matter.
15 of or related to any counsel or party in this matter and 16 have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final 17 outcome of this matter. 18 Witness my signature and seal this the 19 day of July, 2009. 20 20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 21 My Commission Expires: 22 May 5, 2010 23 24			14	I further certify that I am not in the employ
16       have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final         17       outcome of this matter.         18       Witness my signature and seal this the         19       day of July, 2009.         20       21         21       SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566         22       My Commission Expires:         22       May 5, 2010         23       24			15	
17 outcome of this matter.  18 Witness my signature and seal this the			16	have no interest, monetary or otherwise, in the final
18   Witness my signature and seal this the     19			17	
19 day of July, 2009. 20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 21 22 My Commission Expires: 22 May 5, 2010 23 24 24			18	Witness my signature and seal this the
20 21 SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566 21 22 My Commission Expires:	l		19	day of July, 2009.
21 22 My Commission Expires: 22 May 5, 2010 23 24 24			1	
22 May 5, 2010 23 24 24 24			21	SHERRY L. PURVIS, CSR #1566
23 24 24	ĺ		22	My Commission Expires:
24 24	ı			May 5, 2010
	l		-	
25	I		24	
	25		25	